

STATE BAR OF TEXAS



Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel

MEMORANDUM

THIS MATERIAL IS STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

This material contains information that is confidential attorney work product, privileged or both. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is directed. Any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of this information by any person other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

To: Don Jones

From: Linda Acevedo

Date: July 10, 2015

Re: Materials for the Grievance Oversight Committee Pursuant to Court Order

Don,

These are the updated materials from the last submission of March 24, 2015. Included are: 1) statistical data for the discipline system (June 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015); 2) portions of the Commission's February 2015, March 2015 and April 2015 minutes of non-case related topics; 3) consumer complaints and responses received; and 4) responses to the disciplinary system questionnaires received.

Linda



Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel

DISCIPLINARY STATS -- 6/1/2014 THROUGH 5/31/2015

Classification of Writings

Region	Total	Pending	Inquired	Upgraded
Austin	797	50	630	117
Dallas	2599	141	1953	505
Houston	2299	139	1711	449
San Antonio	1817	111	1282	424
Total	7512	441	5576	1495

Classification/BODA Appeal Decisions

Region	Total	Affirmed	Reversed
Austin	218	197	21
Dallas	718	650	68
Houston	599	522	77
San Antonio	470	408	62
Total	2005	1777	228

Classification/BODA Appeals Received

Region	Total
Austin	209
Dallas	674
Houston	529
San Antonio	442
Total	1854

Summary Disposition Results

Region	Total	Dismiss	Proceed
Austin	125	122	3
Dallas	403	388	15
Houston	385	385	0
San Antonio	304	294	10
Total	1217	1189	28



Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel

Election Results

Region	Total	District Court	Evidentiary	Default
Austin	37	4	16	17
Dallas	169	19	91	59
Houston	136	15	52	69
San Antonio	160	12	80	68
Total	502	50	239	213

Just Cause Determination

Region	Total	Just Cause Found	Just Cause Not Found
Austin	155	35	120
Dallas	555	177	378
Houston	526	136	390
San Antonio	455	150	305
Total	1691	498	1193

**EXCERPTS FROM THE MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY, MARCH, AND APRIL 2015
MEETINGS OF THE COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE**

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF
THE COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE
1414 COLORADO STREET
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701
FEBRUARY 19, 2015**

PRESENT: Guy Harrison, Chair; John Neal, Vice-Chair; Pablo Almaguer; Kate McKenna; Providence Boneta; Dave Obergfell (via teleconference); Theresa Chang (via teleconference); William Skrobarczyk (via teleconference); Jane King; Terry Acosta (via teleconference); Noelle Reed; and Bruce Ashworth (via teleconference).

ALSO PRESENT: Linda Acevedo, Chief Disciplinary Counsel; Anne McKenna, Executive Administrative Manager; Dave Grabowski (via teleconference); Nancy Thursby, Dallas Regional Counsel; James Ehler, Deputy Counsel; Laura Popps, Deputy Counsel; Cynthia Hamilton, Senior Appellate Counsel; Claire Mock, Public Affairs Administrator; and Julie Urice Liddell, Appellate Counsel/Special Projects Counsel.

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Harrison called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Anne McKenna called the roll.

APPROVE MINUTES OF PRIOR MEETINGS

Upon consideration, the following motion was made:

Motion: To approve the Minutes of the January 15, 2015 meeting of the Commission for Lawyer Discipline.
Movant: Jane King
Second: John Neal
Vote: Unanimous

FINANCIAL REPORT

Providence Boneta reported that the financials indicate that expenses are within budget and there are no concerns.

REPORT FROM THE CHAIR

Chair Harrison summarized the items that he reported on at the Bar Board meeting last month. He refreshed the Board on the annual grievance committee appointment process and their important role in filling vacancies on the local grievance committees.

REPORT FROM THE CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Chief Disciplinary Counsel Linda Acevedo reported on the following:

- Dallas Regional Counsel Retirement. Nancy Thursby was recognized for 23 years of dedicated service to the State Bar attorney discipline system, 16 of which she served as the Regional Counsel. She will retire on February 27.
- National Organization of Bar Counsel (NOBC) Conference. She attended the NOBC annual conference held in Houston earlier this month, along with several lawyers from the CDC.
- [Attorney-client privileged communication redacted].
- [Attorney-client privileged communication redacted].

[Attorney-client privileged communication redacted].

The Update docket was reviewed. No further action was taken.

Meeting adjourned.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE 1414 COLORADO STREET AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701

MARCH 19, 2015

PRESENT: Guy Harrison, Chair; John Neal, Vice-Chair; Terry Acosta; Bruce Ashworth; Jane King (via teleconference); Kate McKenna (via

teleconference); Providence Boneta; Noelle Reed; Dave Obergfell (via teleconference); William Skrobarczyk (via teleconference); and Pablo Almaguer.

ABSENT: Theresa Chang.

ALSO PRESENT: Linda Acevedo, Chief Disciplinary Counsel; Anne McKenna, Executive Administrative Manager; Dave Grabowski (via teleconference); Tonya Harlan, Dallas Regional Counsel; James Ehler, Deputy Counsel; Laura Popps, Deputy Counsel; and Julie Urice Liddell, Appellate Counsel/Special Projects Counsel.

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Harrison called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Anne McKenna called the roll. Theresa Chang's excused absence was noted.

APPROVE MINUTES OF PRIOR MEETINGS

Upon consideration, the following motion was made:

Motion: To approve the Minutes of the February 19, 2015 meeting of the Commission for Lawyer Discipline.
Movant: Providence Boneta
Second: John Neal
Vote: Unanimous

INTRODUCTIONS

Chair Harrison introduced and welcomed new Dallas Regional Counsel Tonya Harlan.

REPORT ON THE BUDGET

Chair Harrison and Providence Boneta advised that the overall expenditures for the disciplinary system are in line with bottom line budget at this time.

REPORT FROM THE CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Chief Disciplinary Counsel Linda Acevedo reported the following:

- New Dallas Regional Counsel. Tonya Harlan summarized her work history and experience.
- [Attorney-client privileged communication redacted].
- Dallas Regional Office. Vacancies and personnel changes were summarized.
- Grievance Oversight Committee Meeting. Deputy Counsel James Ehler reported on his participation in the February 27 Grievance Oversight Committee meeting at which he addressed the topic of attorney conduct relative to immigration law. He reported to the Committee the efforts of the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel with respect to the same.
- [Attorney-client privileged communication redacted].
- [Attorney-client privileged communication redacted].

The Update docket was reviewed. No further action taken.

An update on the Bennett matter was provided.

Meeting adjourned.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE 1414 COLORADO STREET AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701

APRIL 16, 2015

PRESENT: Guy Harrison, Chair; John Neal, Vice-Chair; Terry Acosta; Bruce Ashworth (via teleconference); Jane King; Kate McKenna (via teleconference); Providence Boneta; Noelle Reed (Via teleconference); Dave Obergfell (via teleconference); William Skrobarczyk (via teleconference); and Pablo Almaguer.

ABSENT: Theresa Chang.

ALSO PRESENT: Linda Acevedo, Chief Disciplinary Counsel; Anne McKenna, Executive Administrative Manager; Dave Grabowski, Houston Regional Counsel; Tonya Harlan, Dallas Regional Counsel; James Ehler, Deputy Counsel; Laura Popps, Deputy Counsel; Claire Mock, Public Affairs Counsel, Cynthia Hamilton, Senior Appellate Counsel; and Julie Urice Liddell, Appellate Counsel/Special Projects Counsel.

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Harrison called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Anne McKenna called the roll. Theresa Chang's excused absence was noted.

APPROVE MINUTES OF PRIOR MEETINGS

Upon consideration, the following motion was made:

Motion: To approve the Minutes of the March 19, 2015 meeting of the Commission for Lawyer Discipline.
Movant: Pablo Almaguer
Second: Dave Obergfell
Vote: Unanimous

REPORT ON THE BUDGET

Providence Boneta reported that the overall expenditures for the disciplinary system through March 2015 are in line with the budget.

REPORT FROM THE CHAIR

Chair Harrison reported on the following

Evaluation Subcommittee. He appointed Subcommittee Chair Pablo Almaguer, Kate McKenna, and Providence Boneta to formulate the annual performance appraisal of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel.

Meeting with Texas Supreme Court Justice Johnson. He, Linda Acevedo, Michelle Hunter and KaLyn Laney met with Justice Johnson to discuss the administrative petition. A brief discussion was held.

REPORT FROM THE CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Chief Disciplinary Counsel Linda Acevedo reported on the following items.

Grievance Committee Member Appointment Process. The annual process for filling vacancies for those grievance committee members whose terms expire at the end of June is ongoing. Statewide Committee member trainings will occur between May and the end of June.

Assumption project. A project has been initiated in conjunction with the Texas Young Lawyer's Association to develop a list of volunteer lawyers to serve as custodians and assist with assumption. Julie Liddell, James Ehler, and Tim Baldwin are developing a handbook for custodians appointed for these proceedings. The guide will also be made available on the State Bar's website with links to all necessary forms, pleadings, and applicable rules.

Litigation Update. She briefed the Commission on various ongoing litigation matters across the state. James Ehler provided information on the [attorney-client privilege redacted] matter.

APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL ASSISTANT DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Upon consideration, the following motion was made:

Motion: To authorize the appointment of Robert Newman as Special Assistant Disciplinary Counsel on the [attorney-client privileged communication redacted] disciplinary matter in event a settlement does not go through.
Movant: John Neal
Second: Jane King
Vote: Unanimous

[Attorney-client privileged communication redacted]

The Update Docket was reviewed. No further action taken.

Meeting adjourned.

STATE BAR OF TEXAS



Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel

February 25, 2015

Drew Bradford
31 Parkside Road
Bedminster, NJ 07921

Re: *Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Carole King Boyd* – Multiple filings

Dear Mr. Bradford:

As Special Administrative Counsel for the attorney-discipline system, the information regarding your grievance was forwarded to me for a response.

I am sorry that you do not feel that the system has served you well. In order to be able to respond to you in a substantive manner, I looked into these matters by reviewing materials from the files and speaking with the staff persons involved in the processing of your grievances.


As you know, your first grievance, filed in March 2012, was nonsuited in February 2013. Your second grievance, filed in May 2013, contained no new allegations from the previous grievance and was dismissed in June 2013 for failing to provide additional information that would demonstrate misconduct. Your most recent grievance, filed this month, was returned to you for again failing to provide new allegations of misconduct.

I understand that it is your contention that the State Bar of Texas was unaware of pertinent information regarding Respondent's out-of-state disciplinary record at the time your original complaint was nonsuited. From reviewing materials from the files, I can tell you that the Bar did possess and consider that information in making its determination regarding your complaint. Your recent grievance, therefore, does not contain new information that would demonstrate misconduct.

Because you have utilized your two filing opportunities, you are now without recourse as to this matter under the procedural rules. Accordingly, this matter has been closed.

Thank you nonetheless for seeking a better understanding of the bases for the dismissals.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Julie Liddell".

Julie Liddell
Special Administrative Counsel
Commission for Lawyer Discipline
State Bar of Texas
P.O. Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711
(512) 427-1350

Julie Liddell
Commission for lawyer Discipline
Austin, Texas

Drew bradford
31 Parkside Road
Bedminster, N.J.
07921

I hope all is well with you and your staff.

There are serious errors. The second and the first filings against Crole Boyd are different than this one. If you read through the Exhibits and the documents submitted the Supreme Court of New Jersey ruled and determine that this is an entirely new issue (The first one being Carole Boyd refusing to pay her rightful debt) (The second one is lying to the Supreme Court of New Jersey in a sworn affidavit and in a Certification.

I believe we both were also set up by Carole Boyd. A close associate of hers with whom Carol Boyd does litigation told me to file the ^{to} ~~addition~~ grievance telling me that she had ^{news} researched this rule in Texas. Now a Texas/reporter tells me that Carole Boyd and this person are close associates and are involved in a legal project together. Knowing that this present grievance is forthwith, Carole Boyd may have set this up through her close associate. Nonetheless, the clear fact is that this present grievance is new and different of which the Supreme Court spent ^{of hours} hundreds. They would not spend hundreds of hours on duplicity. Please read the new grievance. I re-mailed same with more clear language as George Smith suggests.

Dated: March 3, 2015

Drew Bradford
Drew Bradford

Post Script: Carole Boyd was not innocent of the first grievance. Texas simply did not reciprocate re. fee arbitration suspension, as George Smith told me.

IN THE MATTER OF

FILED

CAROLE KING BOYD,

NOV 02 2011

ORDER

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Attorney No. 006401985)

CLERK

The Supreme Court having ordered CAROLE KING BOYD of MEDINA, TEXAS, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1985, to comply with the determination of the District XIII Fee Arbitration Committee in District Docket No. XIII-2008-0063F by refunding the sum of \$6,086.00 to respondent's client and to pay a sanction of \$500 to the Disciplinary Oversight Committee;

And the Court having ordered respondent to comply with the fee arbitration determination and to pay the sanction on a schedule established by this Court and having ordered that respondent be subject to immediate temporary suspension from practice without further notice on report by the Office of Attorney Ethics of her noncompliance;

And the Office of Attorney Ethics having certified to the Court that respondent has failed to comply with the Court's Orders;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that CAROLE KING BOYD is suspended from the practice of law, effective immediately, pending her full


EXA 8

compliance with the Orders of this Court, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further


ORDERED that respondent comply with Rule 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 1st day of November, 2011.



CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

The foregoing is a true copy
of the original on file in my office.



CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
OF NEW JERSEY

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
D-76 September Term 2010
067697

IN THE MATTER OF :
CAROLE KING BOYD, :
AN ATTORNEY AT LAW :
(Attorney No. 006401985):

FILED

JUL 15 2011

CORRECTED
O R D E R

2011 JUL 18 PM 3:48

RECEIVED

CAROLE KING BOYD of MEDINA, TEXAS, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1985, having been ordered to show cause why she should not be temporarily suspended from practice pursuant to Rule 1:20-15(k) and compelled to pay a monetary sanction in the amount of \$250 to the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for failing to comply with the determination of the District XIII Fee Arbitration Committee in District Docket No. XIII-2008-0063F;

And good cause appearing;


It is ORDERED that CAROLE KING BOYD comply with the determination of the District XIII Fee Arbitration Committee by making a payment of \$586 to Drew Bradford, c/o the Office of Attorney Ethics, on or before August 15, 2011, and by making eleven additional payments in the amount of \$500 to Mr. Bradford each month thereafter, c/o the Office of Attorney Ethics until the award of \$6,086.00 is satisfied; and it is further

②

ORDERED that CAROLE KING BOYD pay the sanction of \$250 to the Disciplinary Oversight Committee, c/o the Disciplinary Review Board, on or before September 15, 2011; and it is further


ORDERED that on report by the Office of Attorney Ethics that CAROLE KING BOYD has failed to comply with the terms of this Order, she may be temporarily suspended from practice without further notice, pending her full compliance with the Order and until the further Order of the Court.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 12th day of July 2011.



CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

The foregoing is a true copy
of the original on file in my office.



CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
OF NEW JERSEY

Blake Hawthorne
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of Texas
Austin, Texas
Tele: 512-463-1312

Drew bradford
31 Parkside Road
Bedminster, N.J.
07921
Tele: 908-781-8852

Dear Blake Hawthorne,


I hope all is well with you and your staff.

As per our telephone conversation, please refer this matter to the Chief Disciplinary Counsel.

Lawyer Carole Boyd of Median Texas, 15751 Highway 16 North, with a zip code of 78055, has been suspended twice by the Supreme Court of New Jersey and the State Bar of Texas refuse to reciprocate. Please see the Attached Supreme Court Orders and recent decision and letter for Julie Liddell and my reply to her.

Frankly, the operator answering the telephone for the State Bar of Texas was quite hostile and refused to let me speak to any one to resolve their current error. This new grievance is entirely new and different and regards the intentionbally lying by lawyer Carole Boyd to the Supreme Court of New Jersey in a sworn Affidavit. The first grievance, of which Carole Boyd is also Suspended from the practice of Law, is for refusal to pay her owed \$6,011 Fee Arbitration determination. The State Bar of Texas refsues to reciprocate on both of these Suspension by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. I appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Dated: March 5, 2015


Drew Bradford

Prosecutor George Smith stated to me that a lie was being perpetrated against me that I yelled at the operator after reporting this serious error. He apologized for this falsehood.

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
Disciplinary Review Board
Docket No. DRB 14-141
District Docket No. XIV-2013-0034E

IN THE MATTER OF

CAROLE KING BOYD

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

Decision

Argued: September 18, 2014

Decided: December 4, 2014

Maureen G. Bauman appeared on behalf of the Office of Attorney Ethics.

Respondent appeared pro se, via telephone.

To the Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of New Jersey.

This matter was first before us in January 2013, on a recommendation for a six-month suspension filed by the District VII Ethics Committee, based on findings of violations of RPC 1.16(d) (upon termination of representation, a lawyer shall take steps to the extent reasonably practicable to protect a client's

interests), RPC 3.3(a)(1) (false statement of material fact or law to a tribunal), RPC 8.4(c) (conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation), and RPC 8.4(d) (conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice). We remanded the matter for another disciplinary hearing before a different district ethics committee, because District XIII had denied respondent's request to appear by telephone, contrary to R. 1:20-6(c)(2)(D), which does not require that a respondent's appearance at a disciplinary hearing be in person.

On remand, a two-day hearing took place before a panel of the District VII Ethics Committee (DEC), in late 2013. The DEC found that respondent had violated only RPC 3.3(a)(1) and RPC 8.4(c) and recommended the imposition of a reprimand. For the reasons set forth below, we find that respondent violated RPC 1.16(d), RPC 3.3(a)(1), RPC 8.4(c), and RPC 8.4(d) and determine to impose a three-month suspension on her.

Respondent was admitted to the New Jersey bar in 1985. At the relevant times, she maintained an office for the practice of law in Milford, New Jersey, and in Medina, Texas.

Respondent has no disciplinary history. Nevertheless, on November 2, 2011, the Supreme Court temporarily suspended her for failure to abide by the Court's prior orders, requiring her to comply with the determination of a fee arbitration committee

and establishing a payment plan to enable her to do so. In re Boyd, 208 N.J. 357 (2011).¹

Over the course of many years, respondent represented Drew Bradford in several matters. The one underlying this disciplinary action involved a New Jersey civil suit that respondent filed against Dianne Gleason and Renee Hedges, alleging malicious prosecution, libel, and intentional deprivation of prospective economic benefit (the Gleason matter). Respondent filed the Gleason complaint in 2006, even though she had moved to Texas in 2004. When she was required to appear on Bradford's behalf in New Jersey, she did so via telephone.

¹ At the disciplinary hearing, respondent described the fee arbitration proceeding involving the grievant in this matter, Drew Bradford, as "ridiculous" and a "witch hunt" and declared that, even "if hell freezes over, Mr. Bradford is never going to get that [fee refund] from me" because, she asserted, "I don't owe it and it is not right." Although R. 1:20A-5 provides that fee arbitration proceedings are confidential, because the order suspending respondent for failure to comply with the fee arbitration determination is public and because the parties discussed the fee arbitration matter during the disciplinary hearing, we deem the confidential nature of the fee arbitration matter to be waived.

In May 2007, the Gleason matter was dismissed on summary judgment. The dismissal was appealed as to Gleason only. On August 13, 2009, the Appellate Division reversed the summary judgment order and remanded the Gleason matter for trial on the malicious prosecution claim. The next day, the aforementioned fee arbitration hearing between respondent and Bradford was conducted.²

Sometime prior to August 2009, respondent had attempted to terminate the representation of Bradford, but he would not permit it. Thus, she decided to file a motion to withdraw. She also decided to tender her resignation from the New Jersey bar because, she testified, she did not have the resources to continue practicing law in this state.

On August 19, 2009, after the Appellate Division had remanded the Gleason matter, but before a trial date had been set, respondent filed a motion with the trial court, seeking leave to withdraw as counsel for Bradford. The motion,

² On December 12, 2008, Bradford's request for fee arbitration was docketed. At the time, respondent was representing Bradford on appeal in the Gleason matter. She was not charged with having engaged in a conflict of interest, however.

returnable on September 11, 2009, was accompanied by a twenty-one paragraph supporting certification. Bradford denied that respondent had discussed the motion to withdraw with him, before filing it. He allegedly learned of it only after receiving a copy.

On August 20, 2009, the day after respondent filed the motion to withdraw, she signed a Resignation Without Prejudice From the Bar of the State of New Jersey form, which the Supreme Court received on August 24, 2009. In connection with her resignation, respondent certified that she had "notified all clients for whom I have performed any professional services or by whom I have been retained of my pending resignation and have complied with RPC 1.16."³

Respondent denied that the Gleason matter was pending, when she submitted her resignation. She claimed that "[t]he case wasn't pending at that point. It had just been decided by the Appellate Division. It hadn't been even sent back yet. It was

³ RPC 1.16(d) requires an attorney, upon termination of the representation of a client, to "take steps to the extent reasonably practicable to protect a client's interests," including, but not limited to, "giving reasonable notice to the client" and "allowing time for employment of other counsel."

a brand new file." Respondent maintained that she had told Bradford that she was "going to resign" from the bar, at the August 14, 2009 fee arbitration and in "numerous" faxes to him. Contrarily, Bradford testified that respondent had said only that she was "thinking about possibly resigning."

According to the ethics complaint, respondent did not comply with RPC 1.16, because she failed to give Bradford reasonable notice of her intended resignation and to allow him time to retain other counsel, before she tendered her resignation to the Supreme Court. Moreover, in her certification to the Court, in support of her tendered resignation from the bar, she had not disclosed either the pending litigation in the Gleason matter or her motion to be relieved as counsel for Bradford in that case, which, the complaint charged, constituted violations of RPC 3.3(a)(1), RPC 8.4(c), and RPC 8.4(d).

In respondent's certification in support of her August 19, 2009 motion for leave to withdraw, she asserted the following:

- In June 2007, she had billed Bradford for work done in the Gleason matter, plus disbursements made in two other matters.
- At the time, Bradford was short on funds, so she agreed to a payment plan and proceeded to prosecute the appeal in the Gleason matter.

- Between June and October 2007,⁴ she and Bradford had discussions, in which he had agreed that, if the appeal in the Gleason matter were successful, "he would make other arrangements for representation for trial."
- Bradford had "received several notices in writing that payment must be made on his bill or that [she] would seek to withdraw as counsel."
- On October 1, 2007, she submitted another bill to Bradford, which included the outstanding balance from June; none of the bills to Bradford had been paid.
- Although the Gleason appeal was successful, Bradford refused to either hire another attorney or to represent himself at trial.
- Bradford refused to permit her to withdraw from the representation. Yet he called her incompetent and threatened and berated her, causing her to cease any verbal communication with him.
- Bradford now wanted her to handle the trial on a contingent fee basis and claimed that he was no longer able to pay for her travel and expenses from Texas to New Jersey.
- In the past, Bradford had litigated "a substantial amount of cases pro se" and, therefore, he was "entirely capable of doing so in this one."

⁴ The certification identified the year as 2009. We infer that "2009" was a typographical error and that, instead, the year should have been 2007.

- The Gleason matter now involved the trial of only one count and one defendant.

In paragraph 11 of her August 19, 2009 certification to the trial court, respondent asserted that she could no longer continue to represent Bradford in the Gleason matter, for the following reasons:

I have a busy practice in Texas and [sic] unable to continue any representation in New Jersey, and am in the process of resigning from the New Jersey Bar. I have no other matters which require my New Jersey admittance, it is impossible to leave my practice in Texas for any period of time, and it is a financial hardship to try to pay dues, client protection Fund fees, keep attorney trust accounts, and maintain offices in both states.

[Ex.1711.]

Respondent told the trial court that she was "resigning from the New Jersey bar for the reason that it is financially burdensome to try to maintain practices in both states."

Bradford testified that he did not read respondent's August 19, 2009 certification in its entirety, because there were "[s]ignificant falsehoods" that captured his attention. Thus, he "missed" that portion of respondent's certification that stated that she would be resigning from the New Jersey bar. Moreover, he asserted, respondent's claim that she was "in the process of resigning" was vague.

In a September 10, 2009 letter to Judge William L'E. Wertheimer, Bradford opposed respondent's motion to withdraw, claiming that he could not find another attorney and that, due to a number of physical and mental infirmities, he could not represent himself. His opposition to the motion resulted in an adjournment of the September 11, 2009 return date to September 25, 2009.

In a telephone conversation with Judge Wertheimer's law clerk, respondent asked that a trial date not be set until after the motion was heard. Nevertheless, sometime before the September 25, 2009 return date, the trial was scheduled for November 30, 2009.

On September 20, 2009, respondent signed a reply certification, in further support of her motion to withdraw as counsel for Bradford in the Gleason matter. She did not address Bradford's claim that she had never discussed the motion with him. She did assert, however, that she had "received a notice from the Bar stating that [her] resignation is pending confirmation."

Bradford testified that he never received a copy of respondent's resignation papers and that he did not learn of her application until he read about it, in respondent's September 20, 2009 reply certification to Judge Wertheimer.

On September 22, 2009, the Supreme Court accepted respondent's tendered resignation, "effective immediately." On September 25, 2009, Judge Wertheimer denied respondent's motion for leave to withdraw, on the basis that a trial date had been scheduled for November 30, 2009 and that Bradford could not be left without counsel, two months before trial, "without suffering adverse effects on his interests."

On September 28, 2009, Bradford wrote to Office of Attorney Ethics (OAE) Director Charles Centinaro, to former OAE Deputy Ethics Counsel John McGill, III, and to Supreme Court Clerk Mark Neary, offering his "opposition" to Boyd's resignation. Specifically, Bradford took the position that, by tendering her resignation on August 20, 2009, respondent was representing to the Supreme Court that, as of that date, she was no longer practicing law in New Jersey. He disputed the truthfulness of respondent's statement, presumably because, as of August 20, 2009, her motion to withdraw from the Gleason matter was pending and, therefore, she remained counsel of record in that case.

Bradford also stated in his September 28, 2009 "opposition" that he had not learned of respondent's pending resignation until September 25, 2009, when he had received her September 20, 2009 reply certification to the trial court. Bradford requested

the rescission of the September 22, 2009 Court order accepting respondent's resignation.

On October 6, 2009, Bradford wrote to McGill and Neary and informed them that Judge Wertheimer had denied respondent's motion to withdraw as counsel in the Gleason matter. Three days later, Neary wrote to respondent and asked her to "explain the apparent inconsistencies between your sworn affidavit of August 20, 2009 [to the Supreme Court], and the representations of Mr. Bradford's correspondence." Specifically, Neary identified her sworn statement, in the resignation form, that she had "notified all clients . . . of [her] pending resignation and [she had] complied with RPC 1.16." Neary informed respondent that, "in the absence of a satisfactory response, the Supreme Court may take action vacating its Order accepting your resignation without prejudice."

In an October 19, 2009 letter to Neary, respondent indicated that she had notified Bradford of her "intention to withdraw from practice in New Jersey." She also stated that she had informed Bradford, in November 2008, that, if the appeal in the Gleason matter were successful, he would have to retrieve his file and retain a new attorney.

Respondent further told Neary that, after the Appellate Division had ruled in Bradford's favor, Bradford had refused to

retrieve his file or to hire new counsel. Accordingly, she had notified him of her "intention to terminate [their] relationship, stop practicing in NJ, and move to withdraw as counsel if he did not honor his agreement with her." According to respondent, when Bradford informed respondent that he had no intention of allowing her to withdraw as his attorney, she filed a motion to withdraw and tendered her resignation from the bar. Respondent claimed to Neary that she no longer represented Bradford in the Gleason matter and that she was now representing herself, in the attempted withdrawal as counsel.

At the disciplinary hearing, respondent could not recall whether, prior to the submission of her resignation form, she had reviewed R. 1:20-22, the rule governing that process. Instead, she stated, she had simply called the Supreme Court Clerk's office and "did what they told [her] to do." She could not remember the name of the person who had advised her how to proceed. At oral argument before us, she elaborated:

Anyway, I called the -- I did make my motion and it was returnable, I think, September 11th and maybe that date's in my mind for another reason. But anyway, it was sometime early in September and I called . . . several different agencies because I wasn't sure how to proceed. I had bar dues and client protection dues or whatever that were payable and I didn't want to continue practicing in New Jersey when I wasn't physically there, had no clients there and

had no intention of continuing to practice there with any clients. So I called, first, I think about the dues and they said well, just hold off, what are you going to do, are you going to resign? And I said, yeah, I guess so. I don't know the process. They said well just a minute, we'll transfer you. Then they transferred me to some other person who I believe was in the Supreme Court. I'm not sure who it was but I'm sure if somebody wanted to check this back then, they could have gotten the phone records and seen that I had called. And if somebody wanted to check this such as the [presenter], they could have checked with people there because I spoke to at least two people and maybe three. So someone would have remembered this conversation if the truth wanted to be brought out. The truth was never brought out.

I had my testimony, my sworn testimony, that I did this. There was never any evidence to the contrary. There couldn't have been because this is exactly what I did. I had no intention of trying to deceive anyone, I wanted to know what to do and they told me. They said, -- that I was told it takes at least 30 days to process an application so your motion [to withdraw] is fine, go ahead and fill the application out, send it in, and your motion will be heard probably before this so there shouldn't be any problem. Well, that was the plan but that's not what happened.

[BT13-14 to BT14-25.]⁵

⁵ "BT" refers to the transcript of the oral argument before us, on September 18, 2014.

There is no indication in the record that respondent notified the Supreme Court that the motion to withdraw had been adjourned to September 25, 2009, which was beyond the thirty-day window that the Court employee allegedly told respondent would be the earliest that the Court would act on her resignation. Further, when the motion to withdraw was denied, respondent did not immediately inform the Supreme Court. She claimed that she wanted to first straighten out what she believed to have been some confusion at the trial court level. Before she accomplished that, however, the Supreme Court learned of Judge Wertheimer's decision, when it received a copy of his order.

Respondent testified that, if the Court employee had told her to wait to resign until after the motion to withdraw had been decided, she would have done that, "but they didn't say that." She neither wrote to Bradford to inform him that she had resigned nor sent him a copy of the resignation form. She considered the six-page reply certification "additional notice" to him.

Respondent testified that, after her motion to withdraw was denied on September 25, 2009, she once again called the Supreme

Court Clerk's office seeking direction.⁶ An employee told her to file a motion for reconsideration of the denial. When respondent stated that she could not do that because she was no longer a member of the bar, the employee told her to file it anyway or seek admission pro hac vice.

Although respondent filed a motion for reconsideration with the trial court, it was returned to her because Judge Wertheimer, she was told, was going to enter an order sua sponte. Bradford testified that he did not oppose the motion because, at that point, a lawyer had advised him that, given the animosity between Bradford and respondent, there would be a mistrial "and it would just be a mess and a waste."

On October 22, 2009, Judge Wertheimer issued an order directing Bradford to "represent himself or seek alternate counsel within 20 days," as respondent was no longer eligible to practice law in New Jersey. Bradford received a copy of the order.

⁶ It is not clear when respondent made this call, in light of her failure to promptly notify the Court that the motion was denied.

On November 17, 2009, the Supreme Court vacated its September 22, 2009 order accepting respondent's August 2009 resignation, on the basis that she was still counsel of record in the Gleason matter, when she submitted her resignation.

On December 18, 2009, Judge Karen M. Cassidy granted respondent's motion for reconsideration, permitted her to withdraw, ordered Bradford to retain new counsel or appear pro se, directed respondent to return the file to him, and adjourned the trial from January 19 to February 22, 2010.⁷

Attorney Francis T. Gleason, Jr., who represented one of the defendants in the Gleason matter, testified that respondent's actions in the Gleason matter were "appropriate." According to Gleason, respondent did all that she was required with respect to the prosecution of the first appeal.

The DEC found that respondent had violated RPC 3.3(a)(1) and RPC 8.4(c). With respect to RPC 3.3(a)(1), the DEC noted that respondent had falsely certified to the Court that she had "notified all clients for whom I have performed any professional

⁷ As of September 12, 2013, the Gleason matter was once again pending appeal, after the trial court again dismissed it on summary judgment.

services or by whom I have been retained of my pending resignation and have complied with RPC 1.16."

The DEC found that, although respondent had notified Bradford of her pending resignation, through her certification in support of her motion to withdraw, in which she had stated that she was in the process of resigning from the New Jersey bar, she had not complied with RPC 1.16, because her representation of Bradford in the Gleason matter had not been "properly terminated in accordance with such Rule." According to the DEC, the resignation form's requirement that an attorney certify to having complied with RPC 1.16 "suggests that representation has already been properly terminated under such Rule -- not that the process for withdrawal has merely begun." The DEC found that respondent's failure to inform the Supreme Court that she was still counsel of record in the Gleason matter was an omission of material fact. The DEC concluded that this omission was a violation of RPC 3.3(a)(1), by reading it "in pari materia" with RPC 3.3(a)(5) and RPC 3.3(d).

The DEC found that respondent violated RPC 8.4(c), based on the same reasoning, that is, respondent's failure to inform the Court that she still was counsel of record in the Gleason matter was an omission that precluded the Court from making "an informed decision" with respect to her resignation.

In the DEC's view, however, the record did not support a finding that respondent had violated RPC 1.16(d) or RPC 8.4(d). As to RPC 8.4(d), the DEC found that not only had Bradford not suffered any "material harm," between the original September 2009 return date for the motion to withdraw and December 2009, when respondent was finally allowed to withdraw, but that respondent had not misled the trial court.

As to RPC 1.16(d), the DEC found that respondent had given Bradford notice of her intention to withdraw from the representation on August 14, 2009, the date of the fee arbitration, but that she had continued to represent him until December 18, 2009, when the trial court finally permitted her to withdraw. Thus, "Bradford had had a reasonable amount of notice and time to employ replacement counsel, and in fact Bradford did obtain replacement counsel without undue effort or expense."

The DEC found no aggravating factors and cited, as mitigation, (1) respondent's "prompt attention to the Trial Court Matter and notice to the Trial Court following the Supreme Court's vacation of Respondent's resignation from the New Jersey bar," (2) the "trying" attorney-client relationship between respondent and Bradford, which the trial court determined was a valid ground for her to withdraw from the representation, and (3) her "good faith attempt to comply with the proper procedures

for withdrawing from representation and resignation from the New Jersey bar."

The DEC viewed the OAE's recommended six-month suspension as "unduly harsh under the circumstances" and recommended the imposition of a reprimand instead.

Following a de novo review of the record, we are satisfied that the DEC's finding that respondent's conduct was unethical is fully supported by clear and convincing evidence. We are unable to agree, however, with the DEC's dismissal of the RPC 1.16(d) and RPC 8.4(d) charges.

We begin with RPC 1.16(d). As stated previously, RPC 1.16(d) requires an attorney, upon termination of the representation of a client, to take certain steps to protect the client's interests. Here, Bradford would not agree to the termination of the representation, leaving respondent with no choice but to seek leave to withdraw from the Gleason matter. We do not fault her for this. However, by tendering her resignation from the bar on the day after she filed the motion, rather than waiting for the motion to be decided in her favor, respondent violated RPC 1.16(d). By tendering her resignation, respondent did not give Bradford sufficient time to retain a new lawyer. Also, by tendering her resignation, respondent violated RPC 8.4(d) because she sought to force the trial judge to grant

her motion to withdraw. This fact is evident in the language of her certification in support of the motion, stating that she was "in the process of resigning from the New Jersey Bar."

We reject respondent's defense, that is, her reliance on the Court employee's statement that the Court would not act on the resignation for at least thirty days. Although the thirty-day period would extend beyond the original September 11, 2009 return date, respondent still had a duty to be truthful in connection with the resignation process. She was not. Moreover, as a lawyer, it was unreasonable for respondent to rely on the employee's prediction. The motion to withdraw could have been denied or adjourned or, as it turned out, both.

Respondent's failure to inform the Supreme Court that the return date of the motion to withdraw had been adjourned from September 11 to September 25, 2009 is additional proof that she intended the resignation to influence the outcome of the motion. Clearly, the September 25 date was beyond the thirty-day period within which the Supreme Court could have acted on the resignation, as respondent understood from the Clerk's Office. Moreover, in her reply certification in further support of the motion to withdraw, respondent was sure to inform the trial court that she had "received a notice from the Bar stating that [her] resignation is pending confirmation."

Also, respondent's representation to the Supreme Court, in the resignation, that she had complied with RPC 1.16(d) was untrue and her failure to inform the Supreme Court that a motion to withdraw from the Gleason matter was pending constituted a misrepresentation by silence.

We find, thus, that respondent violated RPC 1.16(d), RPC 3.3(a)(1), RPC 8.4(c), and RPC 8.4(d).

Attorneys who make misrepresentations to a court, under oath, are subject to a broad range of discipline. See, e.g., In the Matter of Richard S. Diamond, DRB 07-230 (November 15, 2007) (admonition imposed on attorney, who, in a matrimonial matter, filed with the court certifications making numerous references to "attached" psychological and medical records, whereas the attachments were merely billing records from the client's insurance provider; in mitigation, this was the attorney's first encounter with disciplinary system in a twenty-year career); In re McLaughlin, 179 N.J. 314 (2004) (reprimand imposed on attorney who had been required by the New Jersey Board of Bar Examiners to submit quarterly certifications attesting to his abstinence from alcohol and who falsely reported that he had been alcohol-free during a period within which he had been convicted of driving while intoxicated; in mitigation, after the false certification was submitted, the attorney sought the

advice of counsel, came forward, and admitted his transgressions); In re Manns, 171 N.J. 145 (2002) (reprimand for misleading the court in a certification in support of a motion to reinstate a complaint as to the date the attorney learned that the complaint had been dismissed, as well as lack of diligence, failure to expedite litigation, and failure to communicate with the client; although the attorney had received a prior reprimand for pattern of neglect, lack of diligence, and failure to communicate with the client, we noted that the conduct in both matters had occurred during the same time frame and that the misconduct in the second matter may have resulted from the attorney's poor office procedures); In re Monahan, 201 N.J. 2 (2010) (censure imposed on attorney for making misrepresentations in two certifications submitted to a federal court in support of a motion to extend the time within which an appeal could be filed; the attorney falsely represented that he was ill, confined to his bed and therefore unable to work; we rejected the proffered mitigating factors; the attorney also practiced while ineligible); In re Clayman, 186 N.J. 73 (2006) (censure imposed on attorney who misrepresented the financial condition of a bankruptcy client in filings with the United States Bankruptcy Court in order to conceal information detrimental to his client's Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition; in

mitigation, we observed that, although the attorney had made a number of misrepresentations in the bankruptcy petition, he was one of the first attorneys to be reported for his misconduct by a new Chapter 13 trustee who had elected to enforce the strict requirements of the bankruptcy rules, rather than permit what had been the "common practice" of bankruptcy attorneys under the previous trustee; we also noted that the attorney had an unblemished disciplinary history, was not motivated by personal gain, and had not acted out of venality); In re Trustan, 202 N.J. 4 (2010) (three-month suspension imposed on attorney who submitted to the court a client's case information statement, falsely asserting that the client owned a home and drafted a false certification for the client, which was submitted to the court in a domestic violence trial; in addition, the attorney entered into an improper business relationship with her client and, after their attorney-client relationship ended, attempted to inflict harm on her former client by seeking to assist her client's former husband in seeking custody of their children in exchange for the withdrawal of his grievance); In re Perez, 193 N.J. 483 (2008) (on motion for final discipline, the attorney was suspended for three months for false swearing; the attorney, then Jersey City Chief Municipal Prosecutor, lied under oath at a domestic violence hearing that he had not asked that the

municipal prosecutor request a bail increase for the person charged with assaulting him); In re Chasar, 182 N.J. 459 (2005) (three-month suspension for attorney who, in her own divorce proceedings, filed with the court a false certification in which she denied having made cash payments to her employees; she also filed a certification on behalf of her secretary, in which the secretary falsely claimed not to have received cash payments; we rejected as mitigation the attorney's claims that the litigation was contentious, that she was using steroids, painkillers, and sleeping pills as the result of a neck injury, and that her former husband had wrongfully denied her visitation with their children for a three-month period); In re Coffee, 174 N.J. 292 (2002) (on motion for reciprocal discipline in a matter where the attorney received a one-month suspension in Arizona, three-month suspension imposed for his submission of a false affidavit of financial information in his own divorce case, followed by his misrepresentation under oath that he had no assets other than those identified in the affidavit); In re Lyle, 172 N.J. 563 (2002) (three-month suspension imposed on attorney who falsely stated in his complaint for divorce that he and his wife had been separated for eighteen months; we rejected as a mitigating factor the attorney's purported treatment for depression at the time of the misconduct); In re Brown, 144 N.J.

580 (1996) (three-month suspension imposed on attorney who, during the trial in the plaintiff-hospital's collection suit for recovery of expenses incurred in the treatment of attorney's drug and alcohol dependency, testified untruthfully that he had never used cocaine, had never been treated for cocaine dependency, that his treatment at the hospital was limited to alcoholism, and that the treatment was fewer than the number of days billed; we noted that the attorney's misrepresentations at trial were made nearly five years after his alleged successful completion of a rehabilitation program; we rejected the attorney's claim that his untruthful denial of drug use was the result of the shock, fear, and shame he experienced as a result of the court's questioning of him about his drug use); In re Mark, 132 N.J. 268 (1993) (three-month suspension for attorney's oral misrepresentations and fabrication of two letters, which were submitted to the trial court and his adversary; the attorney attached the letters to a false certification to the court); In re Kernan, 118 N.J. 361 (1990) (three-month suspension imposed on attorney who "knowingly made a false certification" in his own matrimonial matter, by failing to amend his case information statement to reflect that he had transferred to his mother ownership of an unimproved lot that had been identified as an asset on that document; the attorney's

claimed history of psychiatric difficulties was insufficient to demonstrate "a lack of volition or moral awareness"); and In re Cillo, 155 N.J. 599 (1998) (one-year suspension where, after falsely certifying to a judge that a case had been settled and that no other attorney would be appearing for a conference, the attorney obtained a judge's signature on an order dismissing the action and disbursing all escrow funds to his client; the attorney knew that at least one other lawyer would be appearing at the conference and that a trust agreement required that at least \$500,000 of the escrow funds remain in reserve; two prior private reprimands in two matters for failure to communicate with a client and for entering into an improper business relationship with a client).

Here, it is our conviction that respondent's conduct warrants a three-month suspension. Although she has a clean fourteen-year disciplinary record, in our view, this is not sufficient to overcome the serious nature of her conduct, that is, misrepresenting to the Supreme Court that she had complied with RPC 1.16(d) and failing to disclose the pending motion to withdraw from the representation of Bradford in the Gleason matter. Further, we find, in aggravation, that, when she learned that the motion to withdraw had been adjourned, she failed to notify the Supreme Court, thereby leaving the trial


court with no choice but to permit her withdrawal because she would no longer be a member of the bar. She also failed to promptly inform the Supreme Court that the motion was denied and played fast and loose with her obligations under the rules and with her representations to the Supreme Court.

Finally, respondent's stated refusal to pay the fee award to Bradford, in the face of Court orders compelling her to do so, is so troubling that nothing short of a three-month suspension would be adequate in this case.

Member Gallipoli voted to impose a six-month suspension. Member Singer abstained. Members Rivera and Yanner did not participate.

We further determine to require respondent to reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in R. 1:20-17.

Disciplinary Review Board
Bonnie C. Frost, Chair

By: 
Ellen A. Brodsky
Chief Counsel

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD
VOTING RECORD


In the Matter of Carole King Boyd
Docket No. DRB 14-141

Argued: September 18, 2014

Decided: December 4, 2014

Disposition: Three-month suspension

Members	Six-month Suspension	Three- month Suspension	Reprimand	Dismiss	Abstained	Did not participate
Frost		X				
Baugh		X				
Clark		X				
Gallipoli	X					
Hoberman		X				
Rivera						X
Singer					X	
Yamner						X
Zmirich		X				
Total:	1	5			1	2


Ellen A. Brodsky
Chief Counsel

STATE BAR OF TEXAS



Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel

March 18, 2015

Drew Bradford
31 Parkside Road
Bedminster, NJ 07921

Re: #15-1533 Drew Bradford – Carole King Boyd

Dear Mr. Bradford:

I received your March 9 letter regarding the above-referenced matter. Per your request, we reconsidered the information contained in your March 3 grievance, which included a copy of a December 2014 decision from the New Jersey Disciplinary Review Board. That decision states that the Board found that Ms. Boyd violated a number of New Jersey disciplinary rules by conduct arising in connection with her representation of you in 2009. Accordingly, the Board has recommended a three-month suspension of Ms. Boyd's law license.

The Board's decision, however, is not a final enforceable order imposing discipline. That decision will be reviewed by the New Jersey Supreme Court. If the Court accepts the Board's recommendation, it will issue a final order imposing discipline. At that time, we will determine whether to commence a reciprocal-disciplinary proceeding to impose similar discipline against Ms. Boyd in Texas.

Please feel free to contact our office with questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Julie Liddell
Special Administrative Counsel
Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
P.O. Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711
(512) 427-1350

Julie Liddell
Commission for lawyer Discipline
Austin, Texas

Drew bradford
31 Parkside Road
Bedminster, N.J.

RECEIVED
MAR 09 2015
079 Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

I hope all is well with you and your staff.

There are serious errors. The second and the first filings against Crole Boyd are different that this one. If you read throught the Exhibits and the documents submitted the Supreme Court of New Jersey ruled and determine that this is an entriely new issue (The first one being Carole Boyd refusing to pay her rightful debt) (The second one is lying to the Supreme Court of New Jersey in a swoarn affadavit and in a Certification.

I believe we both were also set up by Carole Boyd. A close associate of hers with whom Carol Boyd does litigation told me to file the addition^{to} grievance telling me that she had^{news} researched this rule in Texas. Now a Texas/reporter tells me that Carole Boyd and this person are close associates and are involved in a legal project together. Knowing that this present grievance is forthwith, Carole Boyd may have set this up through her close associate. Nonetheless, the clear fact is that this present grievance is new and different of which the Supreme Court spent hundreds^{of hours}. They would not spend hundreds of hours on duplicity. Please read the new grievance. I re-mailed same with more clear language as George Smith suggests.

Dated: March 3, 2015

Drew Bradford

Drew Bradford

Post Script: Carole Boyd was not innocent of the first grievance. Texas simply did not reprocipocate re. fee arbitratio suspension, as George Smith told me.

STATE BAR OF TEXAS



Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel

March 27, 2015

Drew Bradford
31 Parkside Road
Bedminster, NJ 07921

Re: #15-1533 Drew Bradford – Carole King Boyd

Dear Mr. Bradford:

On March 25, I received a copy of your letter addressed to Michelle Hunter requesting a special investigator regarding the above-referenced matter. I wanted to confirm that you had received my letter sent March 18, a copy of which is enclosed. Your letter and enclosures suggested that you may have not. As I explained in my letter, we will continue to monitor this case for new developments. I hope this allays your concerns that our office has not given your inquiries due consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Julie Liddell".

Julie Liddell
Special Administrative Counsel
Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
P.O. Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711
(512) 427-1350

Enclosure

RECEIVED

MAR 25 2015

Michelle Hunter
Director of the State Bar of Texas
P.O. Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Drew Bradford Chief Disciplinary Counsel
31 Parkside Road State Bar of Texas
Bedminster, N.J.
07921

Request For A Special Investigator

Dear Director Michelle Hunter,

I hope all is well with you and your staff.

Something is very wrong at the State Bar of Texas.

Repeatedly, the operator refused to provide me with your
name so I could write to you. An adjacent agency, approximately
one week later gave your name to me. Both Julie Liddell and
S.M. Beckage refuse to do the obvious new and different Grievance
completed and done by the New jersey Supreme Court related to
lawyer Carole Boyd of 15751 Highway 16 North, Medina, Texas 78-55.
Please see attached letters and Supreme Court Orders and new
determination.

It appears that Carole Boyd set up this confusion. Gayle
Joiner, told me to file this Supplement to my initial Grievance.
Please see attached. She is the citizen of Texas who states
"Carole Boyd has not notified . . . "Newspaper writer, Zeke
Maccormack, telephone 210-543-9388 of the San Antonio Express
recently told me that Gayle (Joiner) of the Bandera County
Courier and Carole Boyd did a litigation together. Is there
some type of a cover-up involving Julie Liddell and S.M. Beckage.
Obviously, the Supreme Court of New Jersey spent hundreds of hour
doing a new and different Grievance which was for Carole Boyd
lying in a sworn Affidavit to the Supreme Court. The former
Grievance was for non payment of Fee Arbitration. Respectfully,

Drew Bradford
Drew Bradford

RECEIVED

MAR 02 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
I THINK THE GRIEVANCE WAS MISUNDERSTOOD
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I BELIEVE THAT IT COULD GO BOTH WAYS, I BELIEVE SOMETIMES THE GRIEVANCE IT'S DEAD UNTIL IT DOESN'T CAUGHT A PERSONS ETC
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
WHEN A PERSON GETS DISMISSED HE SHALL HAVE A CHANCE TO SEND WITH HIS OWNERS A REASON WHY HE FEEL HIS GRIEVANCE SHOULDN'T HAD BEEN DISMISSED BY DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 03 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
They did not take it into consideration. It was just dismissed.
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?


13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Because my complaint was factual. And if this system was operating fairly, then more would have been taken into consideration. Because my lawyer is not lawfully defending me.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
When a lawyer responds to a grievance that has been filed against him, it's likely that he would respond in his defense. What lawyer would admit to breaking the constitutional law that demands him to defend his clients innocent. So besides just reading his response and accepting it and dismissing mine.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Take more time to investigate in what I'm writing, so that you'll can better determine whether or not its true or untrue.

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.



1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☒ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☒ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Nat Mr. Valdivieso
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Mr. Valdivieso when returned was instructed by me to
to have (me) sign all checks received bearing my name and
he disregarded my request and signed my PIB check (personal injury
protective check)
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
N/A

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711


Benito Vasquez Diaz #1947071, Dick Ware Unit,
1681 S. FM 3525, Colorado City, Tx 79512
Disciplinary System Questionnaire
March 2, 2015

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Please file

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
my grievance was dismissed.
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO Melvin Norman Gray abandon me.
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
no one spoke to me.
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Because Melvin Norman Gray is guilty of professional misconduct and the Chief Disciplinary Counsel left him get away. They turned a blind eye and protected Gray.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
They need to investigate complaints thoroughly and discipline the crooked lawyers. I truly can not believe they dismissed my grievance.




Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

There are a lot of crooked lawyer because they know Chief Disciplinary Counsel is a joke.

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.



1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Purely One sided and Bullshit Police Policing their own. Bullsh
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ Less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO 50 years
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
NO ONE CARES about us in Prison Only their Precious Ones
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NO ONE CARES enough to talk to me. I was Robbed of my life for a crime I did not do - 50 years.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
How Can it be fair if a lawyer of state bar is set to Judge their own. To discipline one of your own would only add credence to your own for its of the state bar.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
yes, use outside agencies who are not tied to your office to do the investigations instead of a Cop Police another Cop How many grievance's are upheld. ??

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

NONE

Your system stinks as do your Moral's. I put my trust in a officer of the Court only to have him work w/ D.A. sherriff to Fuck me. So Fuck yall.

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

RECEIVED

MAR 09 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☐ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☐ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☐ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? N/A
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☒ menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☒ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☐ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☐ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO N/A (REGARDING AN ACCIDENT)
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☐ Sí ☒ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☒ Personal ☒ un abogado ☒ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico?
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
1. Had GOOD SERVICE
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
SUJO QUE YO NO ESTOY DE ACUERDO CON LA DECISION TOMADA POR QUE YO NO TOME A BIEN O PROFESIONA LO QUE EL ABOGADO ESTUVO ASIENDO CON MIGO
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas? EN ESTE CASO.

Volver a:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 11 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time?
☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance? ☒ Austin
☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

1. The BAR allows DA's to withhold Scientific Documents that will prove criminal acts and will be Exculpatory and Impeaching to a State expert witness despite the duties of DA's to present honest testimony and not delay the courts: a complete disregard to the Michael Morton ACT.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
To decide the claims on bases of information not the baisness to prisoners that have claims which will prove criminal conduct of state officials and the intentional acts to delay Justice to cover it up.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

MAR 12 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I kinda feel it was unfair based on the fact I feel there was
zero communication with my attorney.
~~_____~~
~~_____~~
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
I really don't know much how the process works so it's
hard to make a suggestion even though I did not like the decision.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

MAR 12 2015

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO yes + no
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO not yet
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO UNK
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT NO IDEA, NOT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel? I KNOW YET
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days NA
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER Either
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED Used for only few hours
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?

☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with? NA
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

I only called State Bar of Texas, the staff was not very helpful. I called for various information
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO NA
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

I have not received from BODA but I received from the State Bar of TX, it seems they didn't listen to my case because they sent me letter stating it's declined. No explanation.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

They need to explain why they declined or rejected my grievance because the lawyer, John Cullar, did steal all of my money. He didn't even do anything.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

From: Rebecca R Gonzalez

you mailed me both (this & the form to BODA. why? You shld send me this form AFTER I hear from BODA. I'm mailing you that + BODA Appeal as well.

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 13 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
I was treated with respect.
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO *(Haven't had time to REVIS to REVERSE yet. Appealed on 3-9-15)*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
By conspiring with the lawyer to victimize me to have a presidential agent, his own attorney, that causes (1) prevention of our ability to defend ourselves; (2) minimizing our ability and causes of our accused; and (3) limiting the possibility that the defense will be imposed.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes, to get rid of incompetent or unethical lawyers like Mark Church, who allowed the government to bypass multistep order, Court date, as Sept 29 2014 at 9:00 am without RESPECT to the Court date and having for default judgment because of that fact... etc. See e.g. CHARLES DENNIS EASTLY, 1564 S.W. 2d 742, 1998 Tex. App. LEXIS 211 at page 3 For comparison, p.c. page 9 too, as far as fines is concerned. Unconstitutional Trial procedure setting pursuant to Art. 32A.01 VA.C.T.P.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Thank you for receiving this and providing a venue to discuss concerns.

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 10 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO *Joan M. Durkin was the attorney used for an independent hearing. she was referred to as "your honor" judge. I am appealing today.*
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *pending*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO *She was not the respondent lawyer.*
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *I do not know.*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
No request was made to notify me; communicate with me; or talk with me.
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio *An Austin address was documented. I don't know. That information was not provided to me.*
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
No one called and/or wrote in reference to the appeal. I am guessing they would have been kind. There was no conversation.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I am guessing that conversations took place with the attorney. No conversation or details pertaining to my grievance were requested. I was adversely affected.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
I think communication among stakeholders is essential. I think it behooves all of us. It is one of the reasons I filed this because ample time was available during before the hearing in November to clarify, make efficient, and understand the needs.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Thank you for caring. I know the work you provide is important, and I am glad to know that you understand the needs of people. Please help. I would like to recommend the opportunity for anonymous filings.

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☐ Sí ☐ No
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☐ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☐ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☐ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? _____
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☒ menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☐ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☒ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☐ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☐ Sí ☒ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☒ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunicó?

12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
normal
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
Porque no le dan la información necesaria
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
Que la escuchen a la persona y le den la información necesaria

Volver a:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO

a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

BECAUSE PLAYING ADVOCATE FOR CLIENT IS IN THE RULE BOOK, AND MY ATTORNEY CLEARLY DIDNT, HE ABANDONED WITHOUT NOTICE.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

TO IMPROVE, YOU MUST WANT TO IMPROVE, I SUGGEST THAT YOU TREAT US INDIVIDUALS WHO CARE, AS HUMAN'S AND NOT JUST A NUMBER. MY PLEA FOR HELP IS

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

GENUINE, WHO IS TO SAY NOT.

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 17 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Very Sad!
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes, stop being on the lawyers side all the time!
Try to be open minded to what a client has to say, a better
yet.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

go see the client face to face, investigate the matter
more. Don't just go by what the lawyer has to say about the
situation, then you would find the lawyer isn't always right!
That's his job, to be a mouth piece! to sway the jury!

RECEIVED

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO Not yet
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO N/A
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
not present
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED N/A
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO N/A
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Rule 1.4 - Rule 1.1 - Rule 1.3 letter attached
Rule 4.1
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED
MAR 17 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
no

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 17 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO **FIRST I AMEND MY DISMISSAL*
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO *NOT YET BUT I GUESS IT ALSO WAS DISMISSED*
 3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO *NOT SURE IF IT WILL.*
 4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *NOT SURE.*
 5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A
 6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
 7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
 8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
 9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO *10 YEARS.*
 10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
 11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
 12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
 13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
They (system) did not do anything to Mr. Longoria. He lied to me & said one (many) things BUT did not keep his word. They should be made to keep their word (Lawyer: Attorneys).
 14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes. I would like to know what they feel my attorney did. NOthing wrong. He lied to me & family. I paid him 2000.00 and he did not do anything to EARN it. I feel Ripped Off.
- Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

MAR 17 2015

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT ?
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

Mr. Dan Turner

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Mr. Turner was very helpful in advising me what to do after I would get the paperwork. After filling them out I faxed them out. I asked them out, but he did not tell me what to write but was very helpful and
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Because they should hear straight from the complainant to go over the grievance form. Since I was new to this I might not have worded my report correctly. We should have a chance to speak before the BODA dismisses the case. They should talk to the people filing the grievance and hear them out. Writing on paper sometimes does not come out right. I sometimes wonder if this is why lawyers treat their clients so hard. We don't need lawyers to intimidate or take advantage of us. We put our trust into them when we need someone
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
I should have a chance to speak before the BODA dismisses the case. They should talk to the people filing the grievance and hear them out. Writing on paper sometimes does not come out right. I sometimes wonder if this is why lawyers treat their clients so hard. We don't need lawyers to intimidate or take advantage of us. We put our trust into them when we need someone

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

02/20/2015 2:15PM (GMT-05:00) 24

STANFORD REYNOLDS #1912610
Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *Not yet*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO *Not yet*
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *Not yet*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
HOWEVER PAID ATTORNEY FEES THROUGH COURT TREASURY
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO *7 YRS. 30*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NA
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I HONESTLY FEEL THIS SYSTEM IS GEARED MORE TOWARD ATTORNEY, ATTORNEY TAKE OATHS TO DEFEND THEIR CLIENT - EFFECTIVELY, HOWEVER, IN MY CASE, MY ATTORNEY ACTED MORE LIKE A plea-cop-out ATTORNEY RATHER THAN DEFENDING MY RIGHT
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Literally, Hold Attorney accountable for their in-effectiveness as Attorneys write stricter laws that deter Lawyer from being a plea-cop-out Attorney MAKE THEM Practice Law, with the Integrity Lawyer should have to client, ~~whichever~~

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

REQUESTING THE PHYSICAL ADDRESS TO THE OFFICE OF THE FEE COMMITTEES. PLEASE.

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
POOR
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
NEVER TALKED TO ONE

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
I DID NOT TALK TO ANYONE DIRECTLY. HOWEVER, Rule 1.15(d) WAS VIOLATED BY DICK TURNER / YOU SHOULD INFORM HIM TO RETURN MY MONEY. HE DID NOT EARN IT.

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
BECAUSE YOU DO NOT PUNISH THE PEOPLE. DISTRICT ATTORNEY KEN ANDERSON SEND A MAN TO PRISON FOR 25 YEARS. YOU KISS HIM IN THE MOUTH. LAWYERS CHEAT CLIENTS EVERYDAY OUT OF

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

MUCH HARSHER PENALTIES TEXAS IS OVER CROWDED WITH SORRY LAWYERS ANYWAY DIS BAR THEM

THEIR LIFE YOU DO NOT NO THING.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

DO THE RIGHT THING SEND THE PHYSICAL ADDRESS TO THE OFFICE OF THE FEE COMMITTEES. WHY IS THAT A PROBLEM. BECAUSE IT TO MUCH LIKE THE HONEST RIGHT THING TO DO?

Why Don't you SEND THE ADDRESS, "PHYSICAL ADDRESS" TO WHERE THE FEE dispute COMMITTEES ARE THAT WORK WITH CLIENTS AND THEIR ATTORNEYS TO RESOLVE THESE ISSUES. I AM

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
not fair bias, System co-signs with attorneys.
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with? *K.S.*
not helpful because "no action" has been taken. Its clear that the system is bias, and not for inmates that are being forced to have public defenders.

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
No, because this man Ken Catter hasn't done anything on my behalf but got me 3 high expensive bonds \$25,000 on all. Laughed at me, and lies a lot, haven't filed "not" one motion on my behalf.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes, do a thorough investigation before you make a decision like such its "not" fair you all are bias and unfair you sided with my attorney and he has no interest of helping me you are sorry your whole system is. would you please look at the Catter conduct he has done nothing to help

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

201500631-Keri Mallon

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO *still by Court Order*
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO *not yet*
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO *yes*
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO *not yet*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO *not know*
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *not know*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO *not yes - prose*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO *Forms don't talk*
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Please postcard and any grievance and sanctions in a monthly publication to make all accountable. Instead of form key under the carpet discards

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire - 201500630 - Kindred

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO *consultation*
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO *not yet*
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☒ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☒ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO *not yet - pro-se*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Council
State Bar of Texas

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO *not without results*
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Do like Fla. even grievances are published and recorded in a monthly publication. With no way you never to be aware of a summoning condition as they say ignorance even to you is no exception to the law.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

*Good for the Goose is
Good for the Gander*

*Just love you behind closed doors
with record to the public policy.*

Doesn't sound a little fishy? Then they wouldn't

RECEIVED

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 17 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☒ ?
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO

a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

- Because even though four years have passed the Atty. should not be permitted to ruin my life by talking me into a illegal plea
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
yes! Tell all lawyers to do independent investigation as warranted and try not to plea even though most are not paid well and dont even receive a thank you they are not ignorant like the people they rep.
- Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL, ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
My complaint was never heard by any panel
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
This would be up to the lawyers in your office. I wouldn't know. At this time I have not had the experience of your office personnel yet.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
IF A PERSON HAS HIS LIFE IN THE HANDS OF A LAWYER HE SHOULD BE DOING WHAT IS BEST FOR THAT PERSON NO MATTER HOW LONG TOO FT WAS DAN MEHAD WAS MY LAWYER ON THE REVOCATION HEARING 4-14-14 HE DID NOT ARGUE THE STACKING OF MY SENTENCES. HE ESSENTIALLY IS IN THE D.A.'S BACK POCKET. DAN MEHAD HAS NUMEROUS D.W.I.s. I'm SURE HE WAS DRUNK WHEN HE CAME TO TALK TO ME SEVERAL TIMES. HE COULDN'T REMEMBER FROM ONE DAY TO THE NEXT HE NEVER TOLD ME I COULD RECEIVE STACKED TIME
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO N/A
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
my grievance is just & yet the reason is not sufficiently clear
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

editorial improvement

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? X YES ~~NO~~

2. Was your grievance dismissed? X YES NO
a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? X YES NO
b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? YES X NO

3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? YES X NO

4. Was your grievance heard by: X AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL NO A DISTRICT COURT

5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Predjudice against Defendant as Expected

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? X less than 90 days NO 90-179 days NO 180-260 days NO more than 360 days

7. Did your grievance involve a: X CRIMINAL MATTER NO CIVIL MATTER

8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: X APPOINTED NO HIRED

9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? X YES NO

10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
X Austin NO Dallas NO Houston NO San Antonio

11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? NO YES X NO
a. If so, did you talk with: NO staff NO an attorney NO both
b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NO

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? YES X NO
a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Be cause Its A Bias Judicial Systems
WERE It Doesn't Accept its mistakes, prejudice

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
yes, Re Form legal Infrastructure

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Theresa letters
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
unquestioned
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Because i don't have the right to speak with someone on the on the board
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
yes yes to improve our own line to Chief Disciplinary Counsel

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
UNFAIR; PARTIAL TO THE ATTORNEY. UNSatisfactory.
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☒ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
NO OUTSIDE REVIEW OF BOARD DECISION TO DISMISS. ATTORNEYS BEING PARTIAL TO ATTORNEYS. UNFAIR.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
OH DO I! Don't being so damned partial to lawyers AND taking the ATTORNEY'S side so much! Evidence showing lawyer's clear misconduct is ignored. BS!

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
CURSOR
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
?
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
FIRST CLASS; VERY PROFESSIONAL
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
NOT SO FAR - I DISAGREE
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

RECEIVED

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

MAR 17 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☐ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☐ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? _____
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☒ menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☒ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☐ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☒ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☒ Sí ☐ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☐ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico? _____
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló? _____
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
Por que me negaron la forma, aun sabiendo que si tratamos de tener comunicacion con el abogado mencionado, y CAAP tiene las pruebas.
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas? _____

Volver a: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
no incomplete and no detail
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
LAW IS INSUFFICIENT OTHER WORDS
BROKEN AND WITHOUT JUSTICE NO CASE
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
AN INVESTIGATION REPORT OF THE DECISION OF GRIEVANCE
IN CAMERA REVIEW INSPECTION
DUE PROCESS CONCERNS

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
UNFAIR. THIS WAS AN AMENDED COMPLAINT, AND NOT THE SAME
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
I DID NOT TALK TO ANYBODY
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
BECAUSE THERE IS NO INVESTIGATION INTO MY CLAIM. BUT YET THERE IS A JUDGEMENT. THIS IS A AMENDED CLAIM AND NOT THE SAME CLAIM. BUT I AM HEARING THAT ITS THE SAME CLAIM, THERE IS PROOF IN THE DISTRICT CLERK OFFICE.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
BEFORE DISMISSING CLAIMS, MAYBE THERE SHOULD BE INVESTIGATING-SOME. I KNOW THAT YOU CAN'T INVESTIGATE ALL, BUT THIS A INJUSTICE. MY ATTORNEY HAS DENIED ME DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *Pending*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *Not sure*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☒ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair? *Pending*

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
We won a letter from Murphy Law Firm stating no settlement was reached on behalf of her employer -- they initiated a case on my behalf.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 23 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ^{DONT}~~KNOW~~ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
UNKNOWN
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? UNKNOWN less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days ☐
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both NONE
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
NONE
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NONE
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
BECAUSE I DONT THINK MY GRIEVANCE WAS HEARD
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
NO

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 23 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT N/A
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I AM going to send you a letter on the Grievance I Filed for Insufficient Counsel that has been presented to the 13th Courts Also for My Constitutional Rights, were Violated AND Attorney failed to Supp
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system? evidence used Against me in Court

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 23 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? YES NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? YES NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? YES NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? YES NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? YES NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
7/10
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? less than 90 days 90-179 days 180-260 days more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: CRIMINAL MATTER CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: APPOINTED HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? YES NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
Austin Dallas Houston San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? YES NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: staff an attorney both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
7/12
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
I talk to no one
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? YES NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
you take up for lawyer. it's no reason that I had to go jail for 181 Day for own my ex \$21,900. That I didn't have we got no kick. This was a military matt.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Treat the Person fair: we that have no lawyer And money get, you know...

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 25 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO *N/A*
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
UNKNOWN
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both *N/A*
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
NONE
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
NONE

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 26 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
No response from these people so how would we know
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☒ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days Too long -
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER ~~not sure~~
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Do not know
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Unconcerned.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
They are more interested in protecting their own than the public interest
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Don't use it. Further we need to know why a grievance was dismissed in spite of the evidence. Not just a "we are dismissing you" letter. It is a waste of time -

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
NO
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NO
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
They are so many dishonest lawyer out there. They take your money and do nothing! why?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED
MAR 30 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 01 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
No communication. my grievance was dismissed b/c of an old grievance on a different issue. What I grieved was a new issue.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Communicate. Don't rubber stamp Denials. Answer questions

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Kristofer marsh.

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

APR 06 2015

This is the reason why the title of the case is significant. I filed and requested a hearing and appeal, so I was the claimant and petitioner in the case. Molly Machemehl (MAP, LSSP) vs Crowley ISD. On government court dockets, the title of the case was reversed incorrectly and after repeated reminders. They listed me as the respondent on some, which was not correct. The school board of trustee statements were different than my request for hearing & appeal with the Texas Education Agency ("TEA").

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A - I am requesting an appeal at this time. Up to this point, no one has spoken to me about it.
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I like the fact that the grievance process exists. This form might not be the most sufficient, however, for first-time appeals such as this. I also think communication is best. I think you would understand.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes. I do not think you were fully aware of the situation I described. If you were to have witnessed the lengthy and extensive opportunities that Claudine Jackson had to prevent the outcome that resulted I am sure you would agree. There are reports pending in reference to her fabricating government documents. Also, no one requested documents and there are many.

Return to:
Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Please be advised that extensive attempts were taken to communicate with her before going before the Board of Trustees. She reversed government documents. I initiated the hearing and appeal after the Board of Trustee proposal. Therefore, the case was actually entitled Molly Machemehl MAP, LSSP vs Crowley ISD.

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 06 2015

This is the reason why the title of the case is significant. I filed + requested a hearing + appeal, so I was the claimant + petitioner in the case. Molly Machemehl (MAP) vs. Crowley ISD. On government court dockets, the title of the case was reversed incorrectly and after several reminders. They listed me as the respondent on some, which was not correct.

The school board of trustee statements were different than my request for hearing + appeal with the Texas Education Agency ("TEA").

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO *however, I was a school district employee before Andrea became a respondent lawyer. I am trained to speak with them, and it is expected to help understand & de-escalate the situation. Some school district times & resources, etc. I asked to speak with the attorneys.*
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO *This is the form for my appeal. This is what was given.*
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel? *I don't know. This is an appeal request. The info. was not given.*
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED *The respondent attorney fabricated records of the government.*
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO *The perpetrator is the respondent attorney: Crowley Police Department report # 1506007257; Fort Worth Police Department 15-23634; 15-212542*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance? ☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio *The documents did not specify.*
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with? *N/A*
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair? *I like the fact that the grievance process exists. This form might not be the most sufficient, however, for first time appeals such as this. I also think communication is best. I think you would understand.*
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system? *Yes. I do not think you were fully aware of the situation I described. If you were to have witnessed the lengthy + extensive opportunities that Andrea Whalen Paris had to prevent the outcome that resulted, I am sure you would agree. There are reports pending in reference to her fabricating.*

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

April 1, 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

APR 06 2015

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? 20 less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
With a Jessica A. Bridgman
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
"Good except the options is up to the board"
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Because it does not adequately do anything about the attorneys poor work ability and to let them continue loose cases in which they know they have no right to fight them and losing and ple bar
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system? Why the DRS side suit to get hold of
It's not the grievances were concern it's the Discipline
that you people do about your falsely accused attorneys that
should have no right in working on cases that they should have no right
defend.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

P.S Please explain to
me "What" is your
disciplinary Rules please
let me know please.

Thank you

Sincerely Mel Manning

RECEIVED

APR 10 2015

Office of the
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used only for the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
VERY POOR - NAMES and Dates all wrong
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO not yet
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
I FEEL LIKE I WAS PUSHED UNDER THE TABLE
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I HAVE SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE PLUS A CERTIFIED LETTER FROM MY LAWYER WANTING TO BACK OFF MY CASE
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
ACTUALLY READ THE LETTERS.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Re: 2014 04244 JHernandez

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☒ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED ☐ N/A
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with? N/A

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Bias? Poor Enforcement / Oversight of Professional Behavior even with another attorney's written endorsement of non-professional behavior by Garner (written submitted by Mr. McCorkindale)
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
What about sensitivity training for people like Garner? He's NOT a good attorney - someone who must have finished below the bell curve. Relying on past laurels. Should have replaced him sooner - so partly my concern

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

What do expect from Texas?

Well, I'm in a retirement now out of state so will forget about this whole experience JHernandez

4/2015

*
Profession
misconduct
versus
professional
behavior
Ethical vs illegal?

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 13 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: UNKNOWN ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL UNKNOWN ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
UNKNOWN
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO 0-90 days
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both UNKNOWN
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
NA
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NA
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
90 Percent of Hearsay County Bank, 66 Percent Policy Incorporated
But yet the ABA allows the misrepresentation of those letters and Attorney Client
Privilege that the ABA allows
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Held those Court Appointed Attorneys Accountable for
their actions and ABA Policy

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 16 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: I don't know yet ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
I don't know yet
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO ~~NO~~
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

There should be a two-way phone call between the attorney and the plaintiff to show or prove the profession misconduct occurred, have attorney answer question about what I feel what inappropriate. I've heard how the grievance system works all the attorney has to do is claim he didn't do any harm and the grievance is dismissed by
Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel signing a form
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711
you dismissed my grievance the same day you received it which I sent my grievance out on the 31st of March how is this?

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 16 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
not heard because of rule 2.10
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Stop protecting bad attorneys!

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Denied for no reason
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
No.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 20 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Did not talk with none
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Investigate more To help before
dismissing grievance
Thank you

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☐ NO
a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I do not know at this point.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Not at all...

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED
APR 20 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 20 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *Not Yet*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *DONT KNOW*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days *10 Days*
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with? *DONT KNOW*
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I HAD ALL OF THE FACTS IN THE CASE (29 PAGES) THAT SHOWS HIM GUILTY AND THEY DISMISSED THE GRIEVANCE
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
PLEASE LOOK AT THE CASE AND SEE THAT THE LAWYER WAS WRONG AND RULE IN MY FAVOR

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

CLIVE ARLOW FRITZER — TRAVIS AARON EATON

RE 201501928

BAR # 24080870

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☐ No
a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☒ Sí ☐ No
b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☒ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☒ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? Pobre les pedí las pruebas y no me escucharon
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☒ menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☒ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☐ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☒ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☒ Sí ☐ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☐ Sí ☒ No
a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☒ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico?
Jessica A. BERGEMAN
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
Muy bien y esperando Resultados
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☐ Sí ☒ No
a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
porque hay muchos abogados que engañan a la gente por no hablar el idioma ingles y aunque les pidas interprete se reeusan a ponerle uno son muy injustos
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
si que investigen bien los casos y pidan evidencias de lo que se les acusa a las personas y asi poder tomar una buena decision en la sentencia

Volver a:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RUS. BAILEY
ATTORNEY
905 S. FILLMORE SUITE 320
ADDRESS AMARILLO, TX, 79101
(806) 372-6515
PHONE

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

RECEIVED

APR 23 2015

Chief Disciplinary Council
State Bar of Texas

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☒ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☒ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? POBRE NO QUISIERON ESCUCHAR
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☒ menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☒ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☐ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☒ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☒ Sí ☐ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☒ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico?
JESSICA A. BERGEMAN
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
Muy bien y esperando Resultados
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
porque no le dan disciplina y siguen dando mala asistencia a los sentenciados siendo inocentes cuando uno les pide las pruebas no las enseñan
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
si que investigen bien los casos y pidan evidencias de lo que se les acusa a las personas

Volver a:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

MARIA LOPEZ
ATTORNEY

2700 S. WESTERN, SUITE 900
AMARILLO, TX, 79109
ADDRESS

(806) 353-2669
PHONE

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED
APR 24 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☒ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☒ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Rebecca Steuens
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
very informative & patient with me. Very nice
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
maybe make it go faster than over a year.
I really appreciate what you all did for us. Justice
was served.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 28 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
IF I GIVE MY ATTORNEY INFORMATION THAT CAN BE USEFUL TO MY CASE BEFORE I GO TO TRIAL AND HE IGNORES IT, THAT ISN'T RIGHT! HOW CAN I HAVE
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

→ A FAIR TRIAL WITHOUT PROPER DEFENSE. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THAT IS ACCEPTABLE.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

THANK YOU
Donald M. Jenkins

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

APR 29 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO *current client*
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
poor and unacceptable
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO

a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

Because your saying don't send additional information that you will review my original grievance but obviously additional information is required so I'm not left with the same result.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

A list of the attorney rules and conduct should be sent with the original grievance form therefore we who are filing a grievance can properly list the violations of our attorney. I haven't heard from this lawyer since Feb 2nd and I have trial in July. At what point in time by you'll

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

rules does he have to get with me so that we can prepare for trial? How is him not filing a single motion I requested him to file not a violation of rules and conduct? I don't understand

CASE # 201500438

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *Who knows ???*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

I feel since I'm locked up in prison, you

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO *well I feel you didn't investigate or did you take it to heart. I feel you are protecting the attorneys when in fact they have broken the law, code, rules, I will be addressing the matter in Houston Amarillo. And the supreme court, I feel your as wrong as he is, I feel you are demonstrating misconduct only because I'm locked up. you are aiding him to keep doing dirty work.*
- a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
To stop being as dirty as the law breaking attorneys themselves. To truly investigate, the wrong doings of the attorneys. quit aiding them in their wrongs. Take what the state & supreme court appointed you to do to heart,

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED
APR 30 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
I do not know
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
I do not know
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
I do not know
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
due to race all white folks stick to, either blacks are still under discrimination unfair justice
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
yes believe in what black folks are saying about being physical abused attacked by white female lawyers

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT ☒ NO
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
POOR
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
BECAUSE I LEARNED OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION LATE, THAT'S NOT ~~ENOUGH~~ TO STOP A GRIEVANCE.
ENOUGH
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
N/A

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
THE COMPLAINT MEET THE PROBABLE CAUSE THRESHOLD.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
YOUR BAR COUNSEL SHOULD CONSULT WITH CHIEF COUNSEL FOR A 2ND OPINION WHEN A PRIMA FACIE COMPLAINT IS SUBMITTED.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
THE COMPLAINT WAS NOT FULLY INVESTIGATED
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEYS SHOULD BE HELD TO A HIGHER STANDARD AND SHOULD THEREFORE BE INVESTIGATED FULLY

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☒ Sí ☐ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: NO UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA NO UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? _____
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? _____ menos de 90 días _____ 90-179 días _____ 180-260 días _____ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☒ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☐ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: _____ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL _____ EMPLEADO NO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☐ Sí ☒ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☒ Austin ☐ Dallas _____ Houston _____ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☒ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico?
Christine E. Mc Keeman
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
profesional
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
por que he esperado mucho tiempo y no he podido collectar mi dinero y el que se greeado con mi dinero sigue robando a la gente con mentiras
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
que por favor escuchen nuestra queja pero que tambien tomen Accion con estos estafadores

Volver a: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
BRIEF
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
ONLY TO PLEASE REVIEW MY CASE WITH CARE . . .

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☒ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☐ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia?" _____
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? 30 menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días ☐
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☐ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☒ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☐ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☐ Sí ☐ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☐ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico?

12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?

13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
por que hicieran una decision muy prenta sin tomar en cuenta mis Evidencias
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
Deberian Investigar las quejas con mas detalles y deberian de hablar conmigo a mi telefono y preguntar que es lo que paso

Volver a:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO (I am appealing now)
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Up until now good response - however I must proceed further
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☒ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED N/A
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO N/A
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
this case is a 30 year old case - however my client is suffering severe consequences because of this lawyers negligence

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT ☒ NEITHER
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO *I WAS THE VICTIM*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
MP
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
No statement of any time
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes but I would not be able to include in such small space. I will write you a letter, if requested.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
I don't know if it was heard by Evidentiary Panel
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio Bell Co.
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
I have not spoke with any one. I would like to speak with someone about this.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I don't fully understand the grievance system on appointed attorney. He refuse to help me. He is working with the DA.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
It would be a big help to have a way the inmate can contact the Disciplinary Counsel or Casp from jail by phone.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT NEITHER
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO I WAS THE VICTIM
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
MTA
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
No statement at this time
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes but I would not be able to include in such small space. I will write you a letter, if requested.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Poor and they conspired with the lawyer
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☒ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NH
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
That you stop helping the court appointed lawyer retaliation and stop them for working for the DA

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT ☒ NEITHER
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO *I WAS THE VICTIM*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
MP

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
No statement of this time

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes but I would not be able to include in such small space. I will write you a letter, if requested.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

did not speak to any one.

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO

a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

because the Attorney got off of our case for no reason and before that judge Gordon #140 Court that to make him come to court. I show us in court by my self.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

as a consumer you don't take our word it's like the Attorneys can do what they want to do and you guys let them do it. you guys need to start talking to the guys that file the complaint.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

APR 30 2015

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Unsatisfied with their decision
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
I've spoken with a Mr. Ramey and a Mrs. Jessica A. Bergman
There are both Program Directors
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Very good and understanding they understood where I'm stuck
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Because the bar of Texas should be letting these attorneys perform after they have failed to their clients and they are still proceeding on working on cases when they should be investigated before
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system? They proceed on other cases. To start working at the courts cases looking into the attorneys' procedures before they start working with the procedural plan again

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
BIAS & UNJUST
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ Less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
S.M. BECKAGE
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NOT VERY EXPLANATORY OR PROBING OF MY COMPLAINT
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I BELIEVE IT TO BE FAIR BUT IN THE MATTER OF MY CASE IT DID NOT HELP ME RESOLVE MY COMPLAINT
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
THE DISCIPLINARY RULES VERY STRAIGHT UP & DOWN THEY SHOULD BE MORE FLEXIBLE IN RESOLVING MATTERS OF DIFFERENT KINDS IN MY CASE BACK TIME AND THE PROCESS OF APPOINTED JUDGEMENT

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

THE GUIDRY LAW FIRM
2201 MAIN ST SUITE 1006
DALLAS TX 75201
FAX 214-853-4261
214-777-76883

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

APR 30 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☒ an attorney ☒ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
James Conley - who has been disbarred,
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Deceitful
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
you are not looking at the fact of me the client & what I paid him to do & what he has not done. Review the contract. (See attach 1) Fee paid \$2,000. Has not been done. Letter con. This is another charge.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Stop supporting lawyers who do favors for the state under the table.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

APR 26 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO *this is my appeal.*
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *- pending*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *nd*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Do Not Remember staff person's name. It was a woman.
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Professional, helpful.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I explained my situation, yet no action was taken. The attorney did not work for me w/ integrity.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Take action leaning in the client's favor - or at least ask more questions of the client.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *NOT AS OF DATE*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO *NOT AS OF DATE*
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *DO NOT KNOW*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both *DO NOT KNOW*
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NEVER RETURNED MY PHONE CALL
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
TOOK A TERTIARY VIEW WITHOUT LOOKING AT ALL THE EVIDENCE
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
YES: BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THE FACTS AND THEREBY BETTER COMMUNICATION WITH THE INJURED PARTY - COMMUNICATION WORKS.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☒ NO Trying to Amend And ReFile it
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO still going through Process
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT ?
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Not Sure if it was heard by either
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
No Answer/No comment
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Im not sure if A person is able to File A Grievance for A District Attorney, but if not, then some Kind of Process to Investigate And take Action towards the errors of District Attorneys would make A Big Difference.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 04 2015

Chief Disciplinary Council
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Biased
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

They should look more into grievances or even cases for that matter as I was done completely unfair by the system, my lawyer and the D.A.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Don't show so much favoritism toward attorneys, some of them, like in my case, work hand in hand with these dirty D.A.'s not giving unassuming people like myself a chance. the system is not fair and where I come from is dirty and

Corrupt. I'd sure like to know where to file on the D.A. as I have written proof of him standing me, but you all have proved to be less than helpful and it seems like it's going to take an act of congress to get any help even with proof.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

-Lauren Aycock

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

MAY 06 2015

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
.....
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
.....
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
.....
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
.....
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
.....

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 07 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Letter Does NOT say where the grievance was heard
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO TBD ☒
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Was not availed to Attorney's response nor Availed to Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct - nor was I properly served dismissal to correct address
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
At the very least, the person filing the grievance should get a copy of the lawyers response.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

MAY 07 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ Yes ☐ No
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ Yes ☐ No
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
it was OK they didn't speak with me
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days
☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-360 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time?
☐ Yes ☒ No
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ Staff ☒ An Attorney ☐ Both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Shannon Breaux Saucedo
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with? she was very polite.
13. Did you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
The deadline for my case has come and gone and Norman Desmarais still hasn't send me my money. No one has called me back and I find that it is sad.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
I don't feel like justice has been in my favor. He did wrong and I'm the one who has to suffer. I feel like it's a conspiracy among lawyers and the justice system.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
P. O. Box 12487
Austin, TX 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAY 08 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *current*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
unfair dismissal
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER *Both*
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO *pending*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
NA
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NA
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
deserve to speak with + employee of regional office
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
look into cases more closely hopefully appeals will see problems

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 08 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
PERVERSE + PERJURED!
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
DUE TO THE FACT MY SIXTH EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED, THE GRIEVANCE SYSTEM IS PERVERSE & PERVERTED.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
SHARIAH LAW

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 11 2013

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☒ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
NA
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED NA
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO NA
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☒ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Terence C. Norman,
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
See attachment
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
See attachment
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
see attachment

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

RECEIVED

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

MAY 11 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☒ Sí ☐ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☐ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☒ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia?" _____
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☐ menos de 90 días ☒ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☒ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☐ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☐ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☒ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☐ Sí ☒ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☐ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunicó?

12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?

13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
POURQUE MI EX ABOGADO EN UN AÑO NO ME ABANDONÓ EN NADA Solo AGARRO EL DINERO Y NO ME ABANDONÓ.
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
QUE ENVESTIGEN A EL ABOGADO BIEN PARA QUE NO SEGAN ENGANANDO MAS PERSONAS QUE EN SABERLO LO CONTRATAN Y ELO ES UNA INJUSTICIA.

Volver a: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 11 2015

**Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas**

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO **Pending**
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT **N/A**
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED **N/A**
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO **N/A**
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both **N/A**
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Please see attached.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 13 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: N/A AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL N/A A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
DONT KNOW
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ Less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
I DINT TALK TO ANYONE
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
NONE

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 15 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ Yes ☐ No
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ Yes ☐ No
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days
☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-360 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time?
☐ Yes ☒ No
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ Staff ☒ An Attorney ☐ Both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Garrett Swanda, Kelly Morgan
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with? WAS treated fair
13. Did you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
No

Return to: **Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel**
State Bar of Texas
P. O. Box 12487
Austin, TX 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.



1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO IDK what happened
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER - medical
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time?
☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance? ☒ Austin
☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☒ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Ms. Winters Lee, Terry Watts, Devin out of Houston TX
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Lee - somewhat fair in answering my questions
Watts - I signed paper, so I couldn't get another attorney
DAMN - I signed papers, I wouldn't be able to go back to court
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
because I've been going thru this since 2008
and nothing has come of it to my satisfaction
due to my health and welfare agents 2015 Now. I should have
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system? had an answer by now.
Do your job and help people that are
in situations. Put attorneys in jail like you do
criminals, and anybody else.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED *N/A*
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO *N/A*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Professional + courteous.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
*I don't know if any of the witness I provided were called
in an investigation was done completely to review all evidence submitted.*
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
*Report progress to the person submitting the grievance. All we get
is an end result.*

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☒ NO A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Good
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Just investigate the Banking Records
Court House, talk to the elders for
Back grown oil, GAS fraud in the Records

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Very professional
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☒ an attorney ☐ both
b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Lisa Holt - Assistant Disciplinary Counsel
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Ms. Holt was very professional, courteous and a great help. And was honest all the way to the end.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
No

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Very understanding
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☒ an attorney ☒ both
b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Investigator, Kenneth Kirkland, Attorney, Tana VanHamme
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
professional, helpful
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
None

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Case # 201305006

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☒ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

It appears that you not exactly support the attorney against the client, when you discover that he is appointed and not hired, it doesn't matter what evidence is presented by the client.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
you start sanctioning these attorneys who are appointed to represent the defendant in their criminal matters, take the clients complaint more seriously, there lives and freedom is at stake, you should seek the truth,

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

APR 03 2011

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Chief Disciplinary Counsel

State Bar of Texas

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO

2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO

a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO

b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☒ YES ☐ NO

3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO

4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT

5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

Summary Disposition Panel
Verry Verry Verry Unfair because the Investigator and Attorney Dallas Office # Share

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days

7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER

8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED

9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO

10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?

☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio

11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO

a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both

b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO

a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

Because all the Documents I've given Mr Bill Reese and Investigator and Tiffany C Tubbs Administrative Attorney are in the same Building the Princeton 14651 Dallas Parkway, Suite 925 So All my evidence I was giving Him seems He used against me I'm an Attorney so how can I have a chance if the Administrative Attorney is A Lawyer?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

To Improve the Grievance system to let the Investigators and Administrative At to stop spitting in the air and making the rain...

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 13 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: NO AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Not heard!
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: NO staff NO an attorney NO both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
NA
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NA
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
No system of checks & balances. Never spoke to anyone, after sending tons of information.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
The system needs an outside non attorney representing the average citizen, who possesses a non attorney background, but does possess common sense,

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☒ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NP
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I am unable to fully understand the situation and process I need someone to contact me at my home.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Please call and explain better I need personal contact.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

APR 27 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Bias
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☒ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☒ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Investigator Linda / Assistant Tana K. Van Hamme
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Bias. Refused to acknowledge email conversations with the attorney who self-confessed to misconduct.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
In my situation it seemed you were more concerned about protecting the attorney misconduct
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Have an appeal to review other District Committee decisions to prevent unjust decisions that were Bias.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

APR 27 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED
APR 29 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☒ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Dirrell S. Jones Attorney
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
professional, patient, understanding
and kind
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
because this case lasted so many years
it would be welcomed to be able to
receive compensation for all the many
years in need from
Joe Soward.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
NOT EFFECTIVE SANCTION
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
NEED THIS ATTORNEYS NEW ADDRESS OF LOCATION
MOVED FROM THE FIRST ONE
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
NO

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Q6

RE: 201304840- COMM. FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE v George Michael BARNES

2-27-15

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 15 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
SUSAN FARRIS WAS VERY PROFESSIONAL + PERSONABLE. NO COMPLAINTS AT ALL
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☒ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☒ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
SUSAN FARRIS
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Extremely satisfied
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
He was found guilty of professional misconduct, had to pay the board almost \$1900⁰⁰ + all current clients back but not me, this is just wrong. I was the one who turned him in. I prosecuted hi.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes, I believe the person who lost money 1st ought to be paid 1st. The board sure got their money for seriously, im out the money AND you get paid

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 18 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO (*Upon Resignation*)
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☒ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 02 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☒ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
because I am innocent in my prior case.
My former lawyer failed to prove my case in court
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
yes, to pay closer attention to a clients
grievance on what the former lawyer was wrote
up about. Court appointed lawyers the Judge and
Me DA's worked
together in my court
#179 to wrongly
convict me in my
case

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Me DA's worked
together in my court
#179 to wrongly
convict me in my
case

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

RECEIVED

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizadas para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

MAR 17 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☒ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☐ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? Buena me atendieron pero el abogado no se presento
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☐ menos de 90 días ☒ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☐ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☒ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☐ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☐ Sí ☒ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☐ Personal ☒ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico?
Mary Ann Starks.
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
Trato de ayudar me pero el abogado NO Asistio -
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
Nadie trata de ayudar

Volver a: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED
MAR 13 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
don't remember
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
NO

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 30 2015

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO

3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO

4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT

5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

I Paid Attorney David N. Harvey \$2000, he disapproved.

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days

7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER

8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED

9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO

10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?

☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio

11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO

a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

I do not know yet, I am reporting this because I now believe this lawyer is a crook.

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO

a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

I don't know yet.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Just hook where this Lawyer is now.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 23 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ Yes ☐ No
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ Yes ☐ No ?
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days
☐ 90-179 days ☒ 180-360 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time?
☐ Yes ☐ No
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ Staff ☒ An Attorney ☐ Both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Don't remember
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
13. Did you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: **Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel**
State Bar of Texas
P. O. Box 12487
Austin, TX 78711

RECEIVED
Perk o'stree

APR 30 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
Allege coverup By state BAR grievance Committee
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *Not Heard*
 5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel? *Have evidence of Prior Coverup*
complaint not heard
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days *N/A*
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO *N/A*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Because I feel that the state BAR covers-up for certain lawyers. Your system in my opinion is too corrupt to govern itself.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Have an independent review board made up of lawyers and civilists to review cases

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT (UNKNOWN)
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☒ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

MAY 04 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ Yes ☐ No
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ Yes ☒ No *The attorney resigned nullifying my case.*
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ Yes ☒ No *He resigned rather than face disciplinary action.*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ Yes ☐ No
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☒ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
**I'm not sure if my case was heard or not. I guess Anthony Griffin resigned before the Disciplinary Counsel got to my case.*
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-360 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time?
☐ Yes ☐ No
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ Staff ☐ An Attorney ☒ Both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
David Grabowski and his assistant
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with? *Polite, knowledgeable & professional*
13. Did you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Improve update procedure. I had to call several times over this past year to request updates on the case. I'm not sure I understand some of these questions and may have answered incorrectly, 1, 4, 5

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
P. O. Box 12487
Austin, TX 78711

* The letter I received said that "a copy of the Supreme Court's order regarding the resignation is enclosed for your records"
no copy enclosed

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 26 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
NO CONSIDERATION MY LAWYER KEEN LAWYER AND REFUSE HIRE INVESTIGATOR
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

NEGATIVE MY LAWYER HAS NEVER FILE ONE MOTION IN DELAY OUT OF 16 MONTHS AND HIRE NO INVESTIGATOR

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO

a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

IF A DEFENDANT NEED TO HIRE A INVESTIGATION AT THE STATE EXPENSE ITS WRONG. HE FILE NO MOTION ORALLY OR PAPER MOTION CONFLICT OF INTEREST

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

CONTACT LAWYER FELL HIM TO HIRE A INVESTIGATOR OR FILE A MOTION DISCOVERY, INVESTIGATE THE EVIDENCE HE ALREADY WAIVE MY EXAMINING TRAIL THAT REQUEST BEFORE THE INDICTMENT

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

MAR 03 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
Unfair and Unjust
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☒ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time?
☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance? ☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio

11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

I was in correspondence with the Investigator Robert Brown

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
Through correspondence Mr. Robert Brown. I feel was thorough and professional. I did find out that the Texas State Bar is corrupted though. It is no fault of Mr. Robert Brown he did his investigation very thorough and was very professional about it.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO I thank him for that.
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
The judicial system is corrupt - There's a great deal of coverups going on in Lubbock Texas Police Officer, Lawyers and Judges - I was astonished how far the system is corrupted. I never thought it would reach to the State Legal Bar as well.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes by stopping corruption - what happened to being just and fair. For the truth to be told no matter what. These coverups has gone too far - where does it stop. How can you look your selves in the mirror and call your selves men. How can you take pride in your selves in your profession?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

P 003
RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO - YOU SAID WE DIDNT HAVE ENOUGH EVIDENCE
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
DO NOT KNOW, CASE HASNT BEEN FINALIZED
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days 2 YRS 10 MOS
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
IRENE FLORES
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
VERY GOOD
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
SEEING IS BELIEVING, BECAUSE MY CASE CAN BE CALLED FRAUD
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
YES FOR HIM TO PAY BACK MY MONEY THAT HE RECEIVED IN COMPLETE PLUS 100% INTEREST AND FOR HIM TO LEARN HIS PROFESSION AS WELL AS HIS COLLEAGUES THAT THIS NOT HAPPEN AGAIN TO SOMEONE ELSE LIKE IT HAPPEN TO ME

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizadas para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☐ No *QUE MÁS QUIEREN CON ESTE EVIDENCIA*
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☐ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☐ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? *NO SE PORQUE EL CASO NO A FINALIZADO*
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☐ menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días *2 años 10 meses*
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☐ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☒ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☐ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☐ Sí ☒ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☒ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico?
Irene Flores
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
MUY SERBICIAL
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☒ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
PORQUE HAY QUE VER PARA CREE LA JUSTICIA PORQUE MI CASO ES COMO UN FRAUDE
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
SI QUE PAGUE EL DINERO QUE RECIBIO DE SU CLIENTE MAS UN INTERES DE UN 100% Y ASI APRIMEN SU PROFESION Y NO HACE QUE SE VEA MAL SUS COLEGAS

Volver a:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizadas para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseida (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☐ No *QUE MÁS QUIEREN CON ESTA EVIDENCIA*
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseida, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☐ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☐ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? *NO SE PORQUE EL CASO NO A FINALIZADO*
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☐ menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días *2 años 10 meses*
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☐ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☒ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☐ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☐ Sí ☒ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☒ Sí ☐ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☒ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico?
Irene Flores
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
muy SERBICIAL
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☒ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
PORQUE hay QUE VER PARA CREEER LA JUSTICIA PORQUE MI CASO ES COMO UN FRAUDE
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
SI QUE PAGA EL DINERO QUE RECIBIO DE SU CLIENTE MAS UN INTERES DE UN 100% Y ASI APRENDEN SU PROFECION Y NO HACE QUE SE VEA MAZ SUS COLEGAS

Volver a:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO - YOU SAID WE DIDNT HAVE ENOUGH EVIDENCE
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
DO NOT KNOW, CASE HASNT BEEN FINALIZED
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days 2 YRS 10 MOS
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
IRENE FLORES
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
VERY GOOD
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
SEEMING IS BELIEVING, BECAUSE MY CASE CANT BE CALLED FRAUD
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
YES FOR HIM TO PAY BACK MY MONEY THAT HE RECEIVED IN COMPLETE, PLUS 100% INTEREST. AND FOR HIM TO LEARN HIS PROFESSION AS WELL AS HIS COLLEAGUES THAT THIS NOT HAPPEN AGAIN TO SOMEONE ELSE LIKE IT HAPPEN TO ME

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
I need to be heard. This attorney is on tape, asking for titles and is has all the keys
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO I'm not the one that used this attorney it was my husband
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio

11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
I can see I'll have to take this further.

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
The girl at the front desk in San Antonio was rude & no help. It's taken a person that has been violated in many ways to get this matter resolved.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Because your dismissing without requesting further information on this attorney.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
MAKE sure Attorneys meet face to face. (Don't take vehicles that check to see what attorneys are up to. Delays to show the billable hours and they should not be allowed to use someone's ATM CARDS)

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

I have the original title, and was awarded this individual. I knew from the hearing that attorney wanted my 1978 Silver Anniversary Corvette. When I was asked a question by the prosecuting attorney this attorney as

someone else off private property, when his client was in jail.

03/03/2015 4:50PM (GMT-05:00)

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

I will give attorney your message and he will call you back (attorney never did call)

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO

a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

Because the attorney did not follow thru never call to inform me how the case was going.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?


the attorney in question should follow thru and finish the case and get over with not to forget about I was sick cancer needed surgery that is why I hired an attorney

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.



1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☒ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes, we need to be heard

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

APR 17 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
I believe the panel was wrong. I suppose a panel of lawyers is going to look out for lawyers.
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☒ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
All of my communication was written
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
ITS a loaded panel as far as I know. How many people are on the panel that are not lawyers or judges or connected to the profession.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Use an unbiased panel. Adhere to your ethics. This attorney threatened me with criminal prosecution if I failed to meet the demands of the civil suit he was working. A clear violation yet the panel says it wasn't?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Violation yet the panel says it wasn't?

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☒ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☒ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
_____ N/A _____
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
_____ N/A _____
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
_____ N/A _____
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system? (Be fair)

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO I don't know yet. They more than likely will though because the State Bar is to help attorney's...
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO Don't know yet.
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT ☐ NO
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
IX
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? N/YES N/NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO Most definitely not!
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
It is obvious that my grievance was/is not a fee dispute, but was ruled to be. The attorney just did not do what he was paid to do, and I want my money back and he refuses to send it back to me or my mother.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Get people that can read and understand the difference between a fee dispute and an attorney not doing what he was paid to do.

Return to:

~~Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711~~

I'm very unhappy about my grievance being dismissed because it is clear this is NOT a fee dispute. The attorney was paid to come see me in the county jail and he did not do what he was paid to do, but yet tried to hussle my mother out of \$1,500 because I do not need an investigation on my case. I want the attorney to come see me and help me get a copy of the witnesses statement out of the DA's file so I could prove the witness LIED ON THE STAND AND THE DA KNEW T. Thank you, but no thank you because I believe the State Bar is rigged to help attorneys get away with wrong doings. HY else would it be said my complaint is a fee dispute when it's not???

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

RECEIVED

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☒ Sí ☐ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☒ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☒ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? injust
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☒ menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☒ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☐ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☐ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☒ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☒ Sí ☐ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☐ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunicó?

12. ¿Como describiria usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?

13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
No investigaron en detalle todas las evidencias
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
Más rígidas reglas disciplinarias, ya que prometen falsas promesas para quitarnos todo el dinero cuando ellos saben que el caso está perdido.

Volver a:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
unFair
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
N/A
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
I never Talked To Anyone, But My Grievance was Passed over like A lot of Grievances Are.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO ☒ OTHER
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Because They Never Investigate The Complaint, They Just Call The lawyer in Question and Talk To Them And That's That.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
I Believe All Claims Should Be Checked out Thoroughly Before Coming To A Conclusion Because Peoples Lives Are AT Stake Here.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAY 26 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☒ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
excellent good experience
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☒ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☒ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
cant remember
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
good experience thank you
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
every thing has went happy!!
thank you

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED
MAR 02 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☒ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☒ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
Francisco J. Rodriguez, Sonia
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
They never returned calls back, very low customer service, and it seemed as if they didn't care about the case.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

MAR 03 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT N/A
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
N/A
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED N/A
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio N/A
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both NONE
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
NONE
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
NONE
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO - NONE
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
N/A
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
yes - do not dismiss my grievance
please help or direct me in this
problem

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO *Lori Ordway sided with Dallas County!*
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *They will not look into it because Texas is corrupted*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *No one*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
They don't investigate anything when it comes to SENRICK WILKERSON
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☒ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO *An Illegal 8 years! SLAVERY!*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☒ Austin ☒ Dallas ☒ Houston ☒ San Antonio *None of them.*
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
- a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
- b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
For what reasons, they are not there to help BLACK people.
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with? *They will not speak to me or my family*
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO *RACIST is what it is.*
- a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
This system is RACIST! Because I am black, you will not help me!
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Get a lot of Black people to work in your organization that will not allow attorneys to take peoples money and do nothing.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

C/c

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2015

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseida (rechazada) su queja? ☐ Sí ☒ No
 a. Si su queja fue sobreseida, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☐ Sí ☒ No
 b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☒ No
3. ¿Resultado su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☐ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☒ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia?"
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☐ menos de 90 días ☒ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☐ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☒ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☐ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☒ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☒ Sí ☐ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☒ Houston ☒ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☐ Sí ☒ No
 a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☐ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
 b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunicó?
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☐ Sí ☒ No
 a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?

Volver a:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

C/c

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

RECEIVED

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

MAR 17 2015
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseida (rechazada) su queja? ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - a. Si su queja fue sobreseida, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☐ Sí ☒ No
 - b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☒ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: ☐ UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA ☐ UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia? _____
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☐ menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☐ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☐ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: ☐ DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☐ Sí ☒ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☐ Sí ☐ No
 - a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☐ Personal ☒ un abogado ☐ ambos
 - b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico?
Solo con mi abogado
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
se negaba a seguir con mi tratamiento y terapia, ~~I think~~ me llevo que mi abogado desista
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☒ Sí ☐ No siendo deshonesto con el
 - a. Si su respuesta es "no", ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
obcecimiento financiero ya que he quedado lastimada y afectada y
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
necesito mas especialistas que traten con mi cuerpo.

Volver a: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAR 24 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☒ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
PORQUE UN ALTO PORCENTAJE DE ABOGADOS
SOLAMENTE LES INTERESA SACAR BENEFICIO PROPIO
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

APOYAR A LOS CIUDADANOS EN UN CANAL DE TELEVISION
PARA EXPOSER LOS ABUSOS DE LOS ABOGADOS

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

RECEIVED

APR 03 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☒ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
inmate-filed claims are routinely dismissed - needs
reevaluation
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
yea, actually read them.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses to attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer?
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would the evidentiary panel?
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance?

179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days ☐
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPEARED ☐ NOT
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?

☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

NOTE - I FILLED OUT THIS QUESTIONNAIRE, AND MAILED IT, ALONG WITH MY BINDER OF E-MAIL'S & DOCUMENTATION USPS/4832230155- ON 2/24/15 TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

AMON CARTER STATION
FT. WORTH, Texas
761559999

4832230155-0037

02/24/2015 (817)684-0117 01:33:08 PM

Sales Receipt		
Product Description	Sale Unit Qty Price	Final Price
0# AUSTIN TX 78711-2487		\$9.00

Zone-3
Priority Mail 2-Day®
Weight
3 lb. 15.50 oz.
Expected Delivery: Thu 02/26/15
USPS Tracking #: 9114 9399 4431 4112 5551 03
Includes \$50 Insurance

Issue Postage: \$9.00

Total: \$9.00

Paid by: MasterCard \$9.00
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX0135
Approval #: 02452Z
Transaction #: 187
23903060432

For tracking or inquiries go to USPS.com or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing a claim, visit usps.com.

4

J.W. Lee

RECEIVED

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *I am appealing at this time.*
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *Awaiting response*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO *I don't know*
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *I don't know*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☒ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER *This is the dispute. I wanted to press criminal charges against the perpetrator, my ex-husband. Current criminal investigation. I wanted declaration as victim of spousal abuse, protection, etc.*
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☒ NO *The perpetrator needs to be prosecuted, have charges pressed against him up to jail. For the crime alleged, there is no statute of limitations.*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio *ITX, the document has an Austin address*
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
N/A
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
Definitely there are fair elements of the process. In a court of law, defendants determine if they declare a statute of limitations defense. Judges, officers, etc. do not. I would think the same level of care would apply. Also, for the crimes committed by perpetrator to me, there is no statute of limitations.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Yes. Thank you for receiving this. Understanding my testimony and my situation could have occurred by getting to know me personally. I think in person meetings and/or phone meetings are best. Also, please consider matters of law in the appeal process. There is no statute of limitations for the crimes of the perpetrator. Protective orders, charges, case, prosecution was requested by me.

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Please wake up. You are loved. Please think about what you would want for you, your friends etc, if you were in my shoes. I am not sure if your office has yet processed what I am trying to convey. There is a "big picture" issue in regards to both the "spirit" of the law and the "letter" of the law that is behind the reasons why we have an appeal process in our wonderful, beloved state of Texas and our beloved country, the United States of America. I was physically debilitated by a known perpetrator during years of mandatory reporting requirements by my ex-husband that almost resulted

APR 30 2015

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO *My ex-husband filed for divorce first even though I gave the ultimatum of separation and release of information since he was abusive and almost killed me (murder attempt allegation). I requested to review and/or refile were charges with spousal abuse remedies for me as I was physically debilitated by him, etc. I don't know.*
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO *I am appealing*
a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *awaiting response*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO *I don't know.*
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT *awaiting response*
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☐ CIVIL MATTER ☒ *This is the dispute. My ex-husband committed crimes. I requested criminal prosecution (reported to DPS investigated, CPS, and police). My ex-husband is still in civil court.*
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO *I was the crime victim. I wanted to press charges & have him prosecuted. An investigation is underway even now for additional crime.*
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio *I don't know. The letter footer has an Austin address.*
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☐ YES ☒ NO
a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☒ YES ☒ NO
a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I think there is some level of fairness. In a court of law, only defendants determine if they use a statute of limitations defense. I am clearly referring to a situation in which homicide/murder attempt allegation against a known perpetrator is pending.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
Take the time to truly get to know people personally. There is more to this process than merely the "letter" of the law. There is a "spirit" to the law as to why there is an appeals process. There is a current investigation in reference to a known criminal. There is no statute of limitations for homicide/murder attempt.
- Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711
You are loved. Please wake up quickly. Blessings

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

APR 30 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO *penum*
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT ?
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☐ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with? *2*
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
FINE
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I WAS NOT INTERVIEWED.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
*YES! Interview the attorney & the complainant
GOT ALL THE FACTS!*

Return to: Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

THANK

*An attorney should not take a case
and then dump it after being paid!*

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

MAY 08 2013
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☒ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☒ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
The staff was very helpful.

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I did not understand the procedure. I was only used to explain the problem.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
The grievance was dismissed because I sold it after 4 years. I found out that the attorney had tried to sell the boat which required a change of title. The lawyer caused the delay and now gets the benefit of wrong doing & avoids the grievance.

Return to:
benefit of wrong
doing & avoid the
grievance

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? YES
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: _____AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL_____A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?
6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? Less than 90 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: CRIMINAL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney:
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time:
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office: YES
12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?
The staff was helpful.
13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? NO
 - a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?
I did not understand the procedure. I tried my best to explain the problem.
14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?
 - a. The grievance was dismissed because I filed it after 4 years. I found out that the attorney had tried to sell the boat which required a change of title. The lawyer caused the delay and now gets the benefit of wrong doing to avoid the grievance.

Cuestionario del Sistema Disciplinario

Su realización de este cuestionario es estrictamente voluntaria. Las respuestas que usted proporcione serán utilizados para mejorar el sistema disciplinario de los abogados de Texas. Gracias por su participación.

1. ¿Es usted un cliente anterior del abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
2. ¿Fue sobreseída (rechazada) su queja? ☒ Sí ☐ No
a. Si su queja fue sobreseída, ¿inició usted una apelación del caso? ☐ Sí ☒ No
b. ¿Fue revertido el sobreseimiento, de parte de BODA? ☐ Sí ☐ No
3. ¿Resultó su queja en una sanción contra el abogado demandado? ☐ Sí ☒ No
4. ¿Fue escuchado su queja por: NO UN PANEL DE EVIDENCIA NO UN TRIBUNAL DEL DISTRITO
5. Si su queja fue escuchada por un panel de evidencia, "cómo describiría usted su tratamiento por parte del panel de evidencia?"
6. ¿Cuanto tiempo duró el proceso de llegar a una conclusión de su queja? ☐ menos de 90 días ☐ 90-179 días ☐ 180-260 días ☐ más de 360 días did present myself
7. ¿Involucró su queja un: ☐ ASUNTO CRIMINAL ☒ ASUNTO CIVIL?
8. Si su asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, fue su abogado: NO DESIGNADO POR EL TRIBUNAL ☐ EMPLEADO
9. Si el asunto fue criminal en naturaleza, ¿recibió usted un castigo que incluyó tiempo de cárcel or de institución penitenciaria? ☐ Sí ☒ No
10. ¿Cual oficina regional del primer abogado disciplinario procesó su queja? ☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio ?
11. ¿Habló usted una vez con en empleado de esa oficina regional ☒ Sí ☐ No
a. En caso del afirmativo, ¿Habló usted con: ☐ Personal ☐ un abogado ☐ ambos
b. ¿Cuáles son los nombres de los empleados con quien usted se comunico?
12. ¿Como describiría usted su tratamiento por la persona con quien usted habló?
he said he was going to get my case and never heard from him again
13. ¿Cree usted que el sistema de quejas es justo ☐ Sí ☒ No
a. Si su respuesta es 'no', ¿porque cree usted que el sistema es injusto?
14. ¿Tiene usted alguna sugerencia para mejorar el sistema de quejas?
No

Volver a:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Disciplinary System Questionnaire

RECEIVED

MAY 22 2015

Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas

Your completion of this questionnaire is purely voluntary. Any responses you provide will be used to improve the attorney disciplinary system in Texas. Thank you for your participation.

1. Are you a former client of the respondent lawyer? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Was your grievance dismissed? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If your grievance was dismissed, did you appeal? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - b. Did BODA reverse the dismissal? ☐ YES ☐ NO
3. Did your grievance result in a sanction against the respondent lawyer? ☐ YES ☐ NO
4. Was your grievance heard by: ☐ AN EVIDENTIARY PANEL ☐ A DISTRICT COURT
5. If your complaint was heard by an evidentiary panel, how would you describe your treatment by the evidentiary panel?

6. How long did it take to reach a conclusion about your grievance? ☐ less than 90 days ☐ 90-179 days ☐ 180-260 days ☐ more than 360 days
7. Did your grievance involve a: ☐ CRIMINAL MATTER ☒ CIVIL MATTER
8. If your matter was criminal in nature, was your attorney: ☐ APPOINTED ☐ HIRED
9. If your matter was criminal in nature, did you receive a sentence that included jail or penitentiary time? ☐ YES ☐ NO
10. Which regional office of the chief disciplinary counsel's office processed your grievance?
☐ Austin ☐ Dallas ☐ Houston ☐ San Antonio
11. Did you ever talk with an employee of that regional office? ☒ YES ☐ NO
 - a. If so, did you talk with: ☒ staff ☐ an attorney ☐ both
 - b. What were the names of the employees that you spoke with?

12. How would you describe your treatment by whomever you talked with?

short

13. Do you believe the grievance system is fair? ☐ YES ☒ NO

a. If you answered no, why do you think the system is unfair?

Attorneys reviewing attorneys is not objective. This process is just one of numerous practices that give attorneys a negative public perception.

14. Do you have any suggestions for improving the grievance system?

Provide a clear explanation of why a complaint is dismissed.

Change the criteria for what constitutes a valid violation of professional conduct.

Return to:

Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
State Bar of Texas
Post Office Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711